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ABSTRACT
Mandatory enrichment of wheat flour in Canada with folic acid since 1998 has caused folate deficiency to be rare. There were 3019 red blood cell (RBC) folate tests performed during an 18-month period at London Health Sciences Centre (LHSC)/St. Joseph’s Healthcare London (SJHC) without any folate deficiency detected. We implemented a quality improvement initiative to reduce RBC folate testing at LHSC/SJHC. We began with a retrospective review of RBC folate tests performed during the previous 18 months. We identified physicians who had ordered more than five tests during this period and sent them an educational email to inform them of our intentions and solicit their input. We then discontinued RBC folate testing in-house and a pop-up window was introduced to the computerised physician order entry system stating that biochemist approval would be needed before samples would be sent out for testing. During the audited 18-month period, the average monthly test volume was 168 (SD 20). The three departments ordering the most RBC folate testing were nephrology (15%), haematology (7%) and oncology (7%). Physician feedback was supportive of the change, and during the 2 months after targeted email correspondence, the average monthly test volume decreased 24% (p<0.01) to 128 (SD 1). On discontinuation of the test in-house and implementation of the pop-up, the average monthly test volume decreased another 74% (p<0.01) to 3 (SD 2). In the 10 months following discontinuation of the test on-site, there were only 39 RBC folate tests performed with no deficiency detected. This initiative significantly reduced unnecessary RBC folate orders. The change in ordering on email contact suggests that physician education was an important factor reducing overutilisation. However, the most significant decrease came from restricting the test so that only orders approved by a biochemist would be performed.

BACKGROUND
Folate, also known as vitamin B9, is a water-soluble vitamin that has important roles as a co-factor in a number of one-carbon transfer reactions.1 Folate is not synthesised by the body. It is mainly derived from folate-rich foods (eg, dark green leafy vegetables and lentils), fortified foods or vitamin supplements.2

WHO’s suggestion for defining folate deficiency is a serum folate concentration <10nmol/L or an RBC folate concentration <340nmol/L, based on the concentration at which the metabolite homocysteine becomes elevated.3 Severe folate deficiency causes macrocytic anaemia as it disrupts DNA synthesis.1 4 5 A low folate level in early pregnancy has been shown to be a risk factor for pregnancy complications such as abruptio placenta, eclampsia and congenital malformation and neural tube defects in infants.6–8 Also, low folate levels have been associated with diverse conditions such as cancer,9 cardiovascular disease,10 neurological disorders11 and osteoporosis.12 Risk factors for folate deficiency include inadequate food intake, heavy alcohol use, malabsorption (eg, due to coeliac or inflammatory bowel disease), folate depletion medication (eg, methotrexate, phenytoin) and pregnancy.13 14

In 1998, the US Food and Drug Administration and Health Canada mandated the enrichment of all wheat flour with folic acid in 2016), the number of orders remained significant given the infrequency of folate deficiency. It was felt to be a poor use of laboratory resources to continue to allow unrestricted ordering of this test. We anticipated that implementation of ordering restrictions (necessity for biochemist approval) would reduce test volumes for RBC folate to such a substantial degree that it would no longer make sense to perform the testing on-site at LHSC/SJHC and so we determined that we would also refer the test to another laboratory for testing.
at an average daily intake of 100 μg.15-17 This mandatory fortification is now effective in 53 countries globally. Following fortification, the prevalence of folate deficiency was determined by several studies to be rare, averaging <1%.18-21

Measurement of serum folate reflects recent dietary intake, whereas measurement of RBC folate reflects long-term folate tissue stores.22 Folate measurement in either serum or RBCs is usually performed by a competitive assay using folate-binding protein. For serum, no pretreatment steps are necessary. However, measurement of RBC folate first requires haematocrit to be measured and then requires a manual step to lyse the RBCs and release the folate. Following measurement of the folate level in the lysate, the result is normalised to the haematocrit.23 24 These steps cause RBC folate to be a more expensive and labour-intensive test. Despite these disadvantages of RBC folate over serum folate, many clinicians still prefer RBC folate since it is less susceptible to short-term changes in dietary folate intake.1 However, the best test for assessing folate status is still uncertain.1 14 24-26

Numerous studies have shown that folate testing should be significantly reduced or discontinued.20 27-33 Macrocytic anaemia, or even other forms of anaemia where links between anaemia and folate deficiency are not justifiable reason to order folate testing. However, MacMillan et al 33 found that by removing RBC folate from the list of tests available to order in their CPOE system for all physicians except haematologists or gastroenterologists, they were able to reduce ordering of the test by 94%. Physicians other than haematologists or gastroenterologists were still able to order the test using a paper requisition or by calling the laboratory. Given the rarity of folate deficiency, we undertook a quality improvement initiative to decrease RBC folate testing at LHSC/SJHC.

MEASUREMENT

While measurement of RBC folate occurred at LHSC/SJHC, haematocrit was calculated from impedance-based measurements of RBC count and MCV on a Beckman Coulter DXH 800, and folate was measured on a Roche e602 analyzer via a competitive electrochemiluminescent method. The reference interval for folate was 1049–2941 nmol/L. Once RBC folate testing was referred out to another facility, the haematocrit was still measured at LHSC/SJHC, but the folate was measured on a Roche e411 analyzer, with a reference interval of 1150–2300 nmol/L. Because this referral site discontinued their RBC folate test after about 8 months, we began sending samples to a new referral site. The new site was measuring folate on a Roche e411 analyzer, with a reference interval of >1475 nmol/L.

To collect our baseline data, we audited all RBC folate tests performed at LHSC/SJHC from both inpatients and outpatients between 1 October 2015 and 31 March 2017. There were 3019 tests performed with no results below LHSC/SJHC’s reference interval or that would meet the WHO’s definition of folate deficiency.

RBC folate data were also audited from 1 April 2017 to 30 April 2018, so that we could see the effects of emailing physicians at the end of March 2017, and of implementing the biochemist-approval process and beginning to refer out the test on 15 June 2017.

The audited data were analysed using Excel’s Pivot-Table tool to determine the number of tests ordered by each physician, the departments ordering the most tests and monthly test volumes. Average monthly test volumes were calculated for the preintervention period (period 1), for period 2 after emailing physicians and for period 3 after implementing the biochemist-approval/referred out process. Because implementation of the utilisation restrictions took place on 15 June 2017, in the middle of a month, the month of June was omitted from the statistical analysis. The statistical process control X-MR chart was plotted using QI Macros SPC Software. Per cent difference in average monthly test volumes was calculated for period 2 compared with period 1 and for the difference between periods 2 and 3 compared with period 1. A one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) with a Tukey’s post hoc test was performed on the monthly test volumes in periods 1, 2 and 3.

To examine the relationship between coeliac disease and folate deficiency, we audited the data for IgA anti-tissue transglutaminase (anti-tTG) antibodies and RBC folate between 22 May 2012 and 7 July 2016. We looked for patients with elevated anti-tTG, which would be consistent with coeliac disease, around the time (within about 2 months) that RBC folate was measured to see if any of the patients were deficient in folate. During the audited period, the anti-tTG test was an ELISA method from Euroimmun that was run on a Euroimmun Analyzer 1 platform. Results ≥20 RU/mL were considered to be positive for coeliac disease.
**DESIGN AND STRATEGY**

First, we approached a city-wide diagnostic utilisation committee, a hospital group with broad representation of all specialties, diagnostic imaging and laboratories, with our proposal to reduce testing of RBC folate. The committee gave its support.

We then audited an 18-month period of RBC folate orders. From this audit, we identified 111 physicians who had ordered more than five tests. Those physicians received an email from the head of the Laboratory Medicine programme informing them of the infrequency of folate deficiency observed and our intentions to discontinue the test in-house and introduce a biochemist-approval process before samples would be sent to another laboratory for testing. The physicians were asked to provide feedback on whether they agreed with our proposal or had any objections. The chiefs of the departments these physicians belonged to were also emailed about the proposed changes and asked to notify their staff. Of the physicians who were contacted by email, there were 38 respondents. Thirty-four indicated that they supported the initiative and had no major concerns. Only four commented on the need for RBC folate testing in specific patient populations, such as coeliac disease, gastric bypass, severe anorexia or immigrants from countries without folic acid fortification. Physicians who raised concerns were reassured that the test would still be available, on biochemist approval, for patients at risk for folate deficiency.

After receiving stakeholder feedback and general support for the changes, we sent a memo to all physicians at LHSC/SJHC informing them of the upcoming changes.

On 15 June 2017, we discontinued the RBC folate test at LHSC/SJHC and introduced a pop-up window (figure 1) to the CPOE system within the hospital information system, Cerner Millennium, which would appear any time an order for RBC folate was entered.

Because regional hospitals use the same hospital information system and we did not have authority over those sites, a different pop-up window was created for those sites. This pop-up stated how infrequently folate deficiency was observed, but did not mention the need for biochemist-approval for testing.

The pop-up window created for LHSC/SJHC did not block orders for folate testing. We chose not to prevent orders from going into the CPOE system because we wanted to allow the order to go through for the cases where the physician would request biochemist approval. However, the physician could close the pop-up and proceed with the order for RBC folate without actually calling a clinical biochemist to request approval. We observed that a number of RBC folate samples were arriving at LHSC/SJHC laboratories for which biochemist approval had not been requested. Therefore, we implemented a policy whereby one of the clinical biochemists would check the pending log for RBC folate daily in the laboratory information system. For samples that had been received without approval, an email would be sent to the ordering physician that again emphasised the rarity of folate deficiency, stated that the test had been discontinued at LHSC/SJHC and informed the physician that he/she had 48 hours to request approval for the test or the order would be cancelled. For these samples that were received by the laboratory but did not have biochemist approval, the order would be cancelled 48 hours later and the sample that had been stored in the freezer would be discarded rather than being sent out for testing. However, the complete blood count (CBC) to obtain haematocrit would already have been performed on each sample received by the laboratory regardless of whether or not the folate order was cancelled.

![Figure 1](image-url) The pop-up window introduced to the computerised physician order entry system.
Because a couple of the physicians contacted about the proposed changes raised concerns about patient populations with malabsorption, we attempted to gather evidence that these patients were unlikely to be folate deficient in an era of folic acid fortification. We audited data for RBC folate and anti-tTG antibodies, a screening test for coeliac disease, over a period of >4 years and looked for patients who had both tests performed.

The design for our quality improvement project is summarised in figure 2.

**RESULTS**

Figure 3A shows the monthly test volumes for RBC folate before and after the interventions. Figure 3B shows how the average monthly test volume decreased in period 2 (the 2-month period following email contact with physicians who had ordered more than five tests during period 1 (preintervention) and the chiefs of the physicians' departments) compared with period 1. There was a 24% decrease from 168 (SD 20) to 128 (SD 1) (p<0.01). Figure 3B also shows that the average monthly test volume decreased a further 74% in period 3 (the period following discontinuation of the in-house test and implementation of the requirement for biochemist approval for the send-out test) (p<0.01). Overall, there was a 98% decrease from 168 (SD 20) to 3 (SD 2) (p<0.01). Statistical process control demonstrated special cause variation beginning in June, corresponding to the intervention of restricting ordering and discontinuing the in-house test (figure 3A). Subsequent measurements indicated only common cause variation, suggesting a new, stable and better performing system.

During periods 1 and 2, there were 3019 and 255 RBC folate tests performed, respectively, and none of the results were below the reference interval for RBC folate or would meet the WHO’s definition of folate deficiency. During period 3, there were 25 RBC folate tests performed. With the change of the referred out testing site after 8 months to one with a higher reference interval, there were two results below the reference interval, but none would meet the WHO’s definition of folate deficiency.

Prior to the intervention, the three departments ordering the most tests were nephrology (15%), haematology (7%) and oncology (7%). During the 10 months since the RBC folate test was discontinued at LHSC/SJHC, the 39 RBC folate tests performed at referral laboratories were ordered by multiple departments, with oncology (13%), haematology (8%) and paediatric medicine (8%) ordering the most tests.

We identified 11 patients who had anti-tTG antibody levels elevated above the cut-off for coeliac disease at approximately the same time (within about 2 months) RBC folate was measured, and none would meet the WHO’s definition of folate deficiency.

In terms of cost, the total cost for reagents, labour, supplies and the instrument for measurement of RBC folate when the test was performed at LHSC/SJHC was...
about $6.80/test, not including the cost of the CBC. At an average monthly test volume of 168 prior to the intervention, the cost would be approximately $1140. The cost to refer out RBC folate testing to another facility is $20/sample, which includes the shipping costs. At an average monthly test volume of 3 since the test was referred out and the biochemist-approval process was implemented, the monthly cost has been decreased about 95% to approximately $60.

LESSONS AND LIMITATIONS

In our study, we saw that an email informing physicians (and their chiefs) about the proposed changes and their rationale, as well as asking for feedback, reduced RBC folate ordering by about 24% in the subsequent 2 months. While the drop in orders following email contact with physicians was statistically significant according to a one-way ANOVA with a Tukey’s post hoc, it was not associated with special cause variation. Perhaps if we had allowed period 2 to continue for >2 months, special cause variation would have been seen. Since it was not seen, we cannot be certain that the drop in ordering during those 2 months was not due to chance. It is also not clear how long this decrease in ordering would have been sustained if that had been our only intervention. As demonstrated in a previous study by Eaton et al., educational interventions such as email or a pop-up at the time of ordering are rarely sufficient to modify laboratory test ordering practices. In our project, while the larger decrease in RBC folate testing occurred as a result of implementing a biochemist-approval process, we believe that contacting stakeholders helped physicians to feel that they were being consulted and involved in the change process. Seeking feedback increased acceptance of the changes, which was critical to the process as physicians tend to have an aversion to pop-ups in the CPOE system and dislike any restrictions to the tests they are ordering. We believe that without the initial communication and educational steps, the later stages of our project would have been viewed as draconian.

The advantage of the biochemist-approval approach we employed is that it acknowledges that there may be rare instances where folate testing may be indicated, but functions as a hard stop for routine orders. If the physician feels that RBC folate testing is indicated, he/she must discuss the situation with the biochemist and justify the request for the sample to be sent out for testing. Unfortunately, while our method functions as a hard stop, it can be bypassed; our pop-up window can be ignored and the physician can proceed with the order and have the sample sent to the laboratory without ever contacting a clinical biochemist to request approval. Ten months after the implementation, a clinical biochemist is still checking the pending log in the laboratory information system daily to see which samples have been received without approval and then sending emails to the ordering physicians instructing that the order will be cancelled if biochemist approval is not sought within 48 hours. Most of these orders are subsequently cancelled. While the number of samples being received without approval is limited, this process remains time consuming with multiple emails being sent each week. This is in contrast to the original intention of placing the onus for obtaining biochemist approval on the ordering physician.

In addition, for the samples sent to the laboratory without biochemist approval being requested, the CBC (haematocrit) is still run regardless of whether a sample is sent out for folate testing or not. It is not clear how many of the physicians would have ordered the CBC even if the RBC folate test was not being ordered, but presumably some of these CBCs are being performed unnecessarily because our method does not stop unapproved RBC folate orders from going into the CPOE system.

A future change to decrease the time involved in managing unapproved orders is being considered. Given that the samples that have received biochemist approval to be sent out thus far have not identified any cases of folate deficiency, one approach would be to add a warning to the pop-up to indicate that the order will be cancelled unless the physician contacts a biochemist for approval. This approach would place the onus on the ordering physician and not the laboratory to seek approval. However, it would not stop unnecessary CBC measurements.

CONCLUSION

Our interventions, including targeted emails, an educational memo, a decision support pop-up in the CPOE system and ultimately implementing the requirement for biochemist-approval, successfully decreased RBC folate testing by approximately 98%. Because our intervention of implementing biochemist-approval functions as a hard stop, this decrease will likely be sustained over time. There is little published literature on utilisation projects to reduce folate testing. Eaton et al. found their non-intrusive clinical decision support tool in the hospital’s electronic health record was ineffective at reducing folate testing. MacMillan et al. found their approach of restricting ordering of RBC folate testing in the CPOE system to haematologists or gastroenterologists reduced orders by 94%. We present a different approach that did not give privileges for folate ordering to any specialty. Other sites would be able to use a similar approach to ours. If a clinical biochemist is not available at the location, test approvals could be managed by another individual who is willing to take on the responsibility.

MacMillan et al. estimated that their intervention of removing RBC folate from the CPOE system for all physicians except haematologists or gastroenterologists saved over $280,000 in 3 years. Their test volumes prior to the intervention were considerably higher than ours and their estimated cost per test ($14) was much larger than ours. While our calculated savings were not nearly as large, it does appear that our project is saving some healthcare dollars from being spent on unnecessary testing.
funds and time no longer being spent by LHSC/SJHC on RBC folate testing may be helpful in allowing new tests to be developed and implemented. Or, as there are often cuts to the laboratory budget, reducing money spent on tests that add little value, such as RBC folate, may allow the laboratory to continue to perform current tests that do add value.

We did not have enough data to thoroughly examine the relationship between coeliac disease and folate deficiency. However, the fact that we have seen such little folate deficiency over the years, when RBC folate measurements would have been performed in patients with active coeliac disease, severe anorexia, malnutrition or malabsorption due to a variety of other causes, suggests that even these patients are not likely to be folate deficient. Folate deficiency has not been well studied in patients with these conditions since folic acid fortification came into effect.

A common reason for folate testing is the finding of macrocytic anaemia. A number of studies have shown that in countries with folic acid fortification, most patients with folate deficiency do not have macrocytosis and vice versa.18–20 Of note, prior to the implementation of RBC folate testing, patients felt to be at increased risk of folate deficiency, sorption due to a variety of other causes, suggests that tests that add little value, such as RBC folate, may allow the laboratory to continue to perform current tests that do add value.
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