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ABSTRACT

Despite recent progress, the maternal mortality ratio (MMR) in India remains high at 174 per 100,000 live births. Bhagwan Mahavir Hospital (BMH) is a secondary level hospital in New Delhi. In 2013, five women died in BMH’s postpartum ward. In January 2014, a United States Agency for International Development-funded team met with BMH staff to help improve their system for providing postpartum care to prevent maternal deaths. The hospital staff formed a quality improvement (QI) team and, between January and December 2014, collected data, conducted root cause analyses to understand why postpartum women were dying and tested and adapted small-scale changes using plan-do-study-act cycles to delivery safer postpartum care. Changes included reorganising the ward to reduce the time it took nurses to assess women and educating women and their relatives about common danger signs. The changes led to an increase in the number of women who were identified with complications from two out of 1667 deliveries (0.12%) between January and May 2014 to 74 out of 3336 deliveries (2.2%) between July and December 2014. There were no deaths on the postpartum ward in 2014 compared with five deaths in 2013 but the reduction was not sustained after the hospital started accepting sick patients from other hospitals in 2015.

QI approaches can improve the efficiency of care and contribute to improved outcomes. Additional strategies are required to sustain improvements.

BACKGROUND

The sustainable development goals include a commitment to reduce maternal mortality ratio (MMR) to below 70 deaths per 100,000 live births by 2030. A lot is known about which interventions reduce maternal mortality. But despite this knowledge, women often do not receive these interventions when needed. The MMR in India remains high at 174 per 100,000 live births (50 times worse than countries with the lowest MMR). Over the past decade, India has used conditional cash transfers to increase the number of women delivering in health facilities. This has not lead to a concomitant reduction in mortality. There is an increasing interest in not simply improving access but also improving quality of care.

Bhagwan Mahavir Hospital (BMH) is a secondary care hospital in New Delhi catering to a low-income population. Sixteen doctors and 30 nurses deliver about 6000 babies every year. During a typical shift, two doctors are on duty and deliver an average of five babies. In 2013, 5854 women delivered in the hospital and five women died in the postpartum ward (institutional MMR 85 deaths per 100,000 deliveries). Ward staff felt that the deaths occurred because they were not assessing women frequently after delivery. With the help of the United States Agency for International Development Applying Science to Strengthen and Improve Systems Project, the ward formed a quality improvement (QI) team whose aim was to increase the number of assessments and thereby reduce maternal mortality. No patient specific data was collected, and ethics approval was not required.

METHODS OF IMPROVEMENT

The QI team collected data from the patient records on how often women were assessed after delivery and how many women were identified with complications. They compiled these data weekly. Indian guidelines recommend that providers assess women 11 times in the first 6 hours. In January 2014, over a 4-week baseline, the team found, they were only assessing women twice.

Initially, the team did not use QI approaches. Instead, they asked the medical superintendent to issue a letter instructing nurses to assess women six times in the first 6 hours. After 1 week, data showed that they had met this target. Between February and May 2014, the number of assessments remained above six. However, only two (0.12%) of the 1667 women who had delivered in the past 5 months were identified with complications; in 4 of the 5 months no women were identified with complications (figure 1). Both were severely ill when identified. The infrequent identification of women with complications and the late diagnoses suggested that the assessments were not being conducted carefully and complications were being missed. The team modified their initial aim to increase the number of women being identified with complications.
In June, the team decided to start using various QI approaches that they had been taught. They used flow charts and fishbone diagrams to identify the root causes making it difficult to identify women with complications. Based on this information, the team developed the ideas to address these root causes and used plan-do-study-act (PDSA) cycles to test and adapt these ideas.

The team identified that nurses were not assessing women carefully because of time constraints. The root cause was that the ward was large and disorganised. Women were placed in different rooms, and assessment equipment was not kept in any standard location. Nurses spent a lot of time looking for equipment and patients. To eliminate this wasted time, the team thought to create an observation room for newly delivered women and to keep the assessment equipment there. The team conducted a half-day long PDSA (PDSA 1—see table 1) cycle to learn how long assessments took. It took 5 min to assess women in the planned observation room compared with 20 min when they were located elsewhere. Based on PDSA 1, the team set up the observation room and tested it using a 2-day long PDSA (PDSA 2). The number of assessments remained high and nurses felt that their workload was reduced and they were able to do proper assessments. Based on what they learnt during PDSA 2, the nurses suggested involving the patients and families to identify danger signs. One nurse per shift was assigned to educate new mothers and family members about danger signs and who to call if they needed help. The team ran a 4-week long PDSA (PDSA 3) to test how well the new room and patient education system worked.

**RESULTS**

During the PDSA 3, five (1.6%) of 313 women who delivered were identified with complications, two of whom were identified by relatives. All the women were managed appropriately and discharged home. These changes also reduced the workload for the nurses, so the team implemented them permanently. Because the system was working and the complication data was more useful than the assessment data, the team stopped collecting assessment data. Between July and December 2014, 74 (2.2%) of the 3336 women who delivered were identified with complications (compared with 0.12% between January and May) (figure 1). There were no deaths on the postpartum ward in 2014 compared with five deaths in 2013. The system to identify women with complications was sustained and identified complications in 2.2%, 3.1% and 2.9% of all women who delivered in 2015, 2016 and 2017, respectively. In March 2015, the hospital was made a referral site by the government and the hospital started to receive sick patients from other hospital. After this change in case mix, the number of deaths increased. There were six, two and five deaths in 2015, 2016 and 2017, respectively.

**CONCLUSION**

To achieve their aim of improving postpartum assessment (ie, to increase the number of assessments and the number of women with complications identified) and reduce mortality, BMH used QI approaches to reorganise where women were placed in the postpartum ward and where assessment equipment was kept and to
set up a system to educate women and families about when and how to call for help if they were worried about danger signs. These changes were adapted over three PDSA cycles and, when implemented, led to an increase in the number of women being identified with complications. This increase was sustained for over 3 years. Maternal mortality was reduced but this was not sustained after the hospital became a referral site. This was largely a function of the new case mix in patients but it also suggests the need for additional strategies to institutionalise the use of QI approaches for solving new problems.

The study’s main limitation is the assessment data which captured the number of assessments but not the effectiveness of these assessments. Once the team had data showing assessment number was high and data on the number of complications being identified, they stopped recording assessment data as they felt it was no longer useful.

This report suggests that QI approaches can help improve care and reduce deaths but that more effort is required to institutionalise QI methods.
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Table 1 Three plan-do-study-act (PDSA) cycles used to learn about the feasibility and effectiveness of setting up an observation room

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Plan</th>
<th>Do</th>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Act</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PDSA 1</td>
<td>Spend one afternoon timing how long it takes to assess women who are in the planned observation room compared with women elsewhere on the ward.</td>
<td>As planned</td>
<td>It took roughly 5 min to assess women in the observation room compared with roughly 20 min to assess women elsewhere on the ward.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PDSA 2</td>
<td>Over the course of 2 days, learn from nurses if the new observation room reduces work load and makes assessment easier.</td>
<td>As planned</td>
<td>Nurses felt the new set up was better for them and made their work easier. One of the nurses suggested involving patients and families in identifying danger signs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PDSA 3</td>
<td>Continue with the new observation room and patient education system for 4 weeks (until the end of June 2017) and measure the number of assessments per patient and the number of women being identified with complications to learn if the system leads to better care.</td>
<td>As planned</td>
<td>Woman were assessed more than six times in the first 6 hours. Five (1.6%) of the 313 women who delivered were identified with complications (compared with 0.12% of women before this change). The nurses felt that their workload was decreased.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
