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Abstract

Peripherally Inserted Central Catheter (PICC) blockage rate was audited over a two month period on the Trauma & Orthopaedics ward at our District General Hospital. A 70% (five out of seven) PICC blockage rate was observed. High blockage rates lead to potential treatment complications, delays in delivery of treatment, increase in costs, and reduction in patient satisfaction. The factors contributing to the significant blockage rate include, long and contradictory PICC care guidelines, no information sheets in the patient notes, lack of training and awareness about care of, and flushing of, PICC lines, and lack of accountability for PICC flushing. Our project aimed to achieve a greater rate of PICC patency. We produced one succinct and comprehensive PICC care guideline, carried out staff training sessions, introduced a sticker reminding staff to flush the PICC line after use, and introduced a prescription of weekly heparin saline and PRN saline flushes (for monitoring and accountability). We used questionnaires to assess competency of hospital staff pre-teaching (doctors 6%, nurses 0%), and post-teaching (doctors 70%, nurses 38%). Blockage rate data post-intervention is pending. Education improved awareness of guidelines amongst staff and we anticipate that the proposed interventions will translate into reduced blockage rates, improving patient outcomes and reducing costs.

Problem

We observed a high (5 out of 7 lines, 70%) rate of PICC blockages on the Trauma and Orthopaedics Ward over a two month period. This led to compromised patient care by delaying drug administration and blood sampling whilst alternative intravenous access was obtained. Blockages were also associated with reduced patient satisfaction, as patients suffered with complications associated with delayed treatment. The cost of treatment increased with the need to replace the PICC. PICC blockages were considered to be time consuming for staff and distracting from other daily duties. Some of the PICCs were blocking repeatedly which was very frustrating for both the staff and patients.

To identify the contributing factors we compared current practice against the local and manufacturer’s guidelines and found considerable deviation from guidelines. The following problems were identified:

1. Long and contradictory PICC care guidelines which were difficult to find
2. Lack of information sheets provided in the notes of patients who had a PICC inserted
3. Lack of documentation and accountability regarding flushing PICCs
4. Lack of training and awareness of ward staff regarding care of PICCs

Background

PICCs are Peripherally Inserted Central Catheters used in patients requiring long term venous access. They may be safer than conventional central lines and can stay in-situ for longer. Indications for use include administration of long term antibiotics, chemotherapy, or total parenteral nutrition (TPN). As with other types of lines, PICCs are subject to complications.

The literature surrounding PICC blockage in the adult population is scarce. The majority of research concentrates on neonatal and paediatric practice, where complications associated with PICCs are well recognised. Barrier et al (1) reported that in their study of an immunocompetent paediatric population, more than 30% of PICCs developed at least one complication. A neonatal intensive care study undertaken by McCoy et al (2) looked at 1148 PICCs. 164 (14%) of these lines were replaced, either because of blockage, or migration of the line.

Baseline Measurement

We sampled all inpatients with indwelling PICCs over a period of two months on the Trauma & Orthopaedics (T&O) ward where we were working as Foundation Year 1 Doctors. Five out of the seven (70%) patients with PICCs in situ were affected by line blockage; some on multiple occasions. There was no formal documentation of blockages that had been resolved. Out of the five PICCs affected by blockage, two were unsuccessfully unblocked on the ward and required PICC exchange. This suggests that PICC exchange data would underestimate the scale of the problem.

Subsequently, hospital wide PICC activity was audited. There were 192 inpatient PICCs inserted in the hospital between October 2011 and 2012. 48 of those (25%) were inserted for T&O ward patients. During this period, 21 PICCs required exchange (11%). 8 out of 48 (17%) PICCs on the T&O ward required replacement compared with 13 out of 144 PICCs (9%) on other wards. This further alerted us to suboptimal PICC care on our ward. PICC blockage rates...
The PICC line for: blood sampling, drug and fluid administration as guidelines that, according to staff feedback, was clear, succinct, obvious from documentation in the drug chart. We produced two a more consistent way. Non-adherence to the flushing regimen will uniformity in practice and we believe the flushing will be executed in By mandatory prescribing of the flushes on the drug chart, there is appropriate prescription of flushes and indications for PRN flushes.

We collaborated with the radiology and infection control memoires already in practice, for example stickers reminding the need to replace a cannula, are in widespread use, and are considered effective.

Another intervention we designed was a sticker with simple and clear instructions reminding users to flush the PICC after use. Aide-memoires already in practice, for example stickers reminding the need to replace a cannula, are in widespread use, and are considered effective.

We observed an increase in confidence levels from 38% to 66% (absolute improvement of 28%) for junior doctors and from 65% to 80% (absolute improvement of 15%) for nurses. We are in the process of implementing all the changes described, and we aim to re-audit PICC blockages and exchanges three months post-intervention. We anticipate our interventions will translate into reduced blockage rates, improving patient outcomes, and reducing cost.

Estimating the cost of a single PICC insertion is difficult within the NHS setting. Considering the approximate cost of the PICC, time spent in the interventional radiology suite and staff involved, the cost was estimated to be approximately £1,000. The cost of the PICC replacement (either exchange or new insertion) was also estimated at approximately £1,000. There were 21 PICC exchanges undertaken in our hospital within one year (2011-2012). If PICC exchange rate was halved, there is a potential for over £10,000 of savings per annum. Moreover, there is also a hidden cost; which might be even greater, in time and effort needed to unblock PICCs on the ward. The entire cost of printing the new guidelines and stickers will amount to a fraction of the cost of one PICC exchange.

We have learnt that simple measures can have a significant impact on patient care. In future we would consult individuals involved in the change process earlier on in the project. We also found that our project sometimes was slowed down by other work commitments. We hope to solve this problem by recruiting colleagues to assist us.

We have assumed that the size of the problem of PICC blockages is greater than the PICC exchange rate from our audit on the Trauma & Orthopaedics ward. It can be argued that a greater sample of wards would be needed to justify such an assertion.

Conclusion

See supplementary file: Table 1.docx

See supplementary file: Table 2.docx

Lessons and Limitations

The biggest barrier to change came from staff reluctant to change embedded practice. This was overcome by communicating the need for change and emphasising the benefits of change in decreasing workload long-term.

We have assumed that the size of the problem of PICC blockages is greater than the PICC exchange rate from our audit on the Trauma & Orthopaedics ward. It can be argued that a greater sample of wards would be needed to justify such an assertion.
There is a lack of PICC care training for staff. Existing information leaflets were unclear and staff were unaware of where to access them. There was little insight from nursing staff as to the degree of variation in current practice from local guidelines and there was no accountability for PICC care. Our interventions should reduce delays in patient care (e.g. delivery of IV antibiotics), improve patient satisfaction and lead to a reduction in cost and overall reduction in workload for staff.
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