

Characteristics promoting behaviour change: physician experience with a coalition-led quality improvement initiative to reduce excessive laboratory test ordering

Pamela Mathura ¹, Sandra Marini,¹ Karen Spalding,² Lenora Duhn ², Narmin Kassam,¹ Jennifer Medves²

To cite: Mathura P, Marini S, Spalding K, *et al*. Characteristics promoting behaviour change: physician experience with a coalition-led quality improvement initiative to reduce excessive laboratory test ordering. *BMJ Open Quality* 2023;**12**:e001965. doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2022-001965

► Additional supplemental material is published online only. To view, please visit the journal online (<http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2022-001965>).

Received 29 April 2022
Accepted 23 December 2022



© Author(s) (or their employer(s)) 2023. Re-use permitted under CC BY-NC. No commercial re-use. See rights and permissions. Published by BMJ.

¹Medicine, University of Alberta Faculty of Medicine & Dentistry, Edmonton, Alberta, Canada
²School of Nursing, Queen's University, Kingston, Ontario, Canada

Correspondence to
Pamela Mathura;
pam.mathura@ahs.ca

ABSTRACT

Background Attempts have been made to reduce excessive laboratory test ordering; however, the problem persists and barriers to physician involvement in quality improvement (QI) remain. We sought to understand physician participation experience following a laboratory test overuse initiative supported by a QI coalition.

Methods As part of a larger mixed-methods study, structured virtual interviews were conducted with 12 physicians. The Theoretical Domains Framework (TDF) and the Behavioural Change Wheel (BCW) were used to identify characteristics that influence physician behaviour change for QI leadership and participation and appropriate blood urea nitrogen (BUN) test ordering. A content analysis of physicians' statements to the TDF was performed, resulting in overarching themes; relevant TDF domains were mapped to the intervention functions of the BCW.

Results Nine overarching themes emerged from the data. Eight of 14 TDF domains influence QI leadership and participation, and 10 influence appropriate BUN-test ordering behaviours. The characteristics participants described that promoted a change in their QI participation, leadership and appropriate BUN-test ordering were: QI education with hands-on training; physician peer mentorship/support; personnel assistance (QI and analytics) and communication from a trusted/credible physician leader who shares data and insights about the physician role in the initiative, clinical best practice and past project success. Other elements included: a simply designed initiative requiring minimal effort and no clinical workflow disruptions; revised order forms/panels and limiting test-order frequency when laboratory tests are normal. Additionally, various future intervention strategies were identified. For their initial initiative participation, physicians acknowledged coalition leader or member credibility was more important than awareness of the coalition.

Conclusions Based on physicians' described perceptions and experiences, coalition characteristics that influenced their QI leadership and participation, and appropriate BUN-test ordering behaviours were revealed; these characteristics aligned to several TDF domains. The findings suggest that these behaviours are multidimensional, requiring a multistrategy approach to change behaviour.

WHAT IS ALREADY KNOWN ON THIS TOPIC

⇒ Understanding the behavioural characteristics that influence physician diagnostic test ordering is critical for designing deimplementation interventions that could lead to successful clinical practice change.

WHAT THIS STUDY ADDS

⇒ Physician quality improvement (QI) experience and perception of coalition characteristics and interventions that promote QI involvement and a behaviour practice change to reduce excess blood urea nitrogen test ordering, which could be transferable to other forms of testing.

HOW THIS STUDY MIGHT AFFECT RESEARCH, PRACTICE OR POLICY

⇒ The findings can be used to inform the design of future physician-led QI initiatives about excessive test ordering and strategies to reduce barriers to physician-QI participation and leadership.

INTRODUCTION

Laboratory test ordering has been acknowledged as an area where quality improvement (QI) is needed, with recent initiatives focusing on reducing healthcare system costs or improving patient experience and outcomes.^{1 2} Nevertheless, overuse of laboratory testing persists during hospitalisation, suggesting complex barriers. Additionally, there has been a lack of physician QI leadership and participation, which was found to impact their interest and priority to reduce laboratory testing.³ There is little published evidence about the perceptions of physicians regarding their role and involvement in QI.⁴

Physician-QI leadership is defined 'as the active/willing participation of physicians in QI projects that develop a strategic partnership with healthcare operations to improve

healthcare delivery, pg6'.⁵ Reducing laboratory test ordering requires a change in physician behaviour to participate in and lead the initiative; this approach may have broader influence on their colleagues' ordering practice.⁶ In 2012, the Choosing Wisely (CW) campaign launched in the USA, aimed to reducing unnecessary tests and treatments in healthcare, has spread to over 20 countries, including Canada.⁷ In Canada, over 70 medical specialty societies have developed more than 350 recommendations to support conversations about appropriate and necessary treatment. However, 'recommendations alone will not change practice', pg410⁸ and CW suggests incorporating behavioural science tools, such as the Theoretical Domains Framework (TDF), to support interventions aligned with CW recommendations.⁸

Members of a university Department of Medicine (DoM), in Edmonton, Alberta, Canada, identified healthcare system transformation required physician QI education, engagement and leadership and patient-centred care.⁹ In 2015, a physician-led coalition—the Strategic Clinical Improvement Committee was developed, uniting the priorities of the DoM and the health zone medicine programme.¹⁰ The coalition included 15 DoM physicians from various medicine divisions, six executive directors from the six local hospital medicine programmes, a patient advisor, and a QI consultant. A coalition is the joining of people and organisations, and often involves existing leaders working in strategic pragmatic partnerships to influence outcomes on a particular problem.^{11 12} The coalition's aim is to strengthen physician QI capability and involvement in improvement projects; collaboration between hospital medicine programmes and improve patient outcomes and experience.

In 2018, in an internal organisation laboratory test utilisation report, high (>500 tests per month) blood urea nitrogen (BUN) ordering was identified in hospitals, in four health zones (Edmonton, Central, South and North) in Alberta [unpublished]. One coalition QI priority became laboratory test overuse, specifically BUN. Members of the coalition were aware reducing BUN ordering in the hospital setting would require a change in physician behaviour, leadership in the improvement effort and influencing physician colleagues to change their ordering practice.

The research presented herein represents the qualitative phase of a sequential explanatory mixed-methods study. The purpose of this study phase was to gather physician-QI experience to identify the characteristics they perceived as influencing their QI participation (initiative involvement), leadership (champion/lead the initiative) and their reduction in excessive (support appropriate) BUN ordering.

METHODOLOGY AND METHODS

Theoretical frameworks

The TDF is a validated behavioural framework based on 128 constructs from 33 psychological theories and consists

of 14 domains¹³: knowledge; skills; beliefs about consequences; beliefs about capabilities; optimism; social/professional role and identity; intention; goals; memory, attention and decision processes; environmental context and resources; social influences; emotion; behavioural regulation and reinforcement.^{14 15} The TDF expands on the capability, opportunity and motivation conditions of the Behavioural Change Wheel (BCW) known as the COM-B system.¹³⁻¹⁶ Researchers investigating physician laboratory test ordering in different settings have applied the TDF to understand the determinants of behaviour 'mechanisms for action/change' and to identify potential behaviour change interventions.¹⁷⁻¹⁹

Study design, team and ethics

A qualitative design incorporating one-to-one structured virtual interviews with physicians in four health zones in Alberta, Canada, was completed after the implementation of a provincial QI initiative to reduce excessive BUN-test ordering. The TDF provided a theoretical perspective for the research findings to be collected, analysed and interpreted.¹⁶

The study team comprised of researchers (PM, JM, KS, LD and SM), and a practicing internist (NK). Researcher (PM), the coalition's QI-consultant provided project management support for the dissemination of the initiative across Alberta and QI guidance to the initiative physician leads. Participants had awareness of and a past relationship with PM in her QI-consultant role.

Patient and public involvement

No patient or public involvement in this study.

Setting

From 2017 to 2019, coalition physician members with or without a multidisciplinary (nurse, unit clerk and medical learners) team at four different hospitals in Edmonton, Alberta, completed QI projects about laboratory test overuse. Aimed at identifying key intervention components to reduce daily laboratory test ordering and BUN testing, a *coalition-supported, physician-led multicomponent laboratory test ordering overuse initiative* was developed.²⁰ The success of these projects in reducing BUN ordering in hospital medicine units²¹ led to the December 2019 approval of a provincial initiative to reduce BUN ordering in hospital medicine units and emergency departments, with plans to continue in one Edmonton-health zone hospital and expand to three hospitals in Alberta's Central, South and North health zones.

The COVID-19 pandemic delayed the spread of the provincial initiative. With frequent hospital disruptions (ie, staff and unit changes, etc), the multicomponent intervention was abridged to one educational presentation (1 hour), the sharing of programme-specific BUN-test data, and the physician lead informally encouraging colleagues. Medicine and emergency physician teams in the four participating hospitals received the educational presentation from either the coalition physician leader,

or member, and/or a zone physician leader (not directly aligned with the coalition).

Participants and recruitment

Thirteen hospital medicine and emergency physicians from the four health zones were eligible to participate. Purposively sampled physicians were those who either led or supported a lead physician in the initiative. Researcher (SM) sent 13 individual study invitation emails to each participant's organisation email address. Participants who responded to the invitation were sent information explaining the study, including consent forms prior to scheduling a 60 min interview. Participants were assigned a study identification number ensuring anonymity.

Data collection

A structured interview guide that included one section for physician general characteristics and another for topic-related open-ended questions aligned to the TDF was developed (online supplemental file A). A physician (dermatologist) researcher reviewed the interview guide; minor word and sentence structure modifications were made to improve question clarity. At a minimum of 3 months postinitiative implementation, PM conducted audio-recorded one-to-one structured interviews via Zoom using Otter Voice Notes and the Zoom recording feature (no video was recorded). At the start of each

interview, study information was reviewed, and consent was reaffirmed verbally. Twelve physicians were interviewed over a 6-month period (February to July 2021); study identification-numbered audio recordings resulted.

SM transcribed the recordings verbatim, generating study identification-numbered transcripts. PM independently reviewed all audio recordings to ensure accuracy of the transcripts and JM independently reviewed all final transcripts. Post-transcript development, the audio files were discarded, and transcripts were stored for data protection. SM emailed the transcripts to each participant for review and no participant requested changes or additions.

Data analysis

Data analysis occurred concurrently with data collection. A deductive-directed content analysis approach was applied to analyse and interpret the findings.^{13 14 22} The TDF domains provided the codes, relationships among the codes, and code definitions were used by Cane *et al* for codebook development.^{13 22} Data analysis occurred in five steps (figure 1). First, PM and SM independently completed domain coding (ie, selecting segments or passages from the transcript that matched a relevant TDF domain) using NVivo V.12.2. To ensure coding consistency, they reviewed the first two transcripts together;




Figure 1 Qualitative Study Phase Coding Strategy Diagram. COM-B, Capability, Opportunity, Motivation Behavioural System; TDF, Theoretical Domains Framework.

Note. This figure depicts the process used to code, theme, and determine intervention functions.

coding discrepancies were addressed using consensus. Relevant data not aligned to the TDF were reviewed and resulted in the addition of three new codes (ie, COVID-19, Connect Care and Unaware of Coalition) that were added to the final codebook confirmed by JM. Subsequently, all transcripts were independently coded by PM and SM, coding discrepancies were resolved using consensus. To determine coding congruence, the interrater reliability coefficient was calculated.²³ Second, TDF domains with the highest number of coded phrases were counted.

In steps 3 and 4, PM inductively organised the coded textual statements into domain-specific themes, which were then organised to generate overarching themes.^{24 25} Two researchers (SM and JM) independently confirmed all themes. Finally, to identify intervention functions influencing behaviours under review, PM and SM mapped the relevant TDF domains aligned to each overarching theme to the COM-B behaviour change model and the BCW.²⁶ Researcher (JM) confirmed the aligned intervention functions.

Rigour

A theory-informed structured approach was employed,^{13–16} with open-ended, structured interview questions aligned to the TDF, allowing participants to share candidly. Reducing the potential for coercion, correspondence was through SM who was not known to the participants. Credibility was established using the following strategies: a study identification-linking document was developed and accessible to SM only; two researchers independently completed data coding, with a third confirming all findings; PM and SM documented reflective notes clarifying assumptions as part of the coding process; participants were provided the verbatim transcripts for data accuracy; and a detailed research process with raw data (quotes) provide the findings to evaluate and assess transferability.²⁷ The Consolidated Criteria for Reporting Qualitative Research guidelines were followed.²⁸

RESULTS

Participants

Twelve participants were interviewed for 30–35 min; 10 were medicine (urban n=5; rural n=5) and two were emergency physicians (urban n=1; rural n=1) from four health zones in Alberta. Ten participants led the initiative, and two supported a physician colleague's involvement. Participants were familiar with laboratory test excessive ordering in the hospital setting (n=10) and had awareness of the coalition (n=9). Over half of the participants (n=7) had greater than 10 years of clinical experience and eight reported having less than 10 years of formal administrative/leadership experience. Participants rated their QI knowledge (n=7) and leadership (n=9) as beginner, however, individuals (n=9) noted that the coalition was supportive of QI knowledge development and application. Four reported a positive change in QI knowledge,

Table 1 Participant characteristics (n=12)

Characteristic	Participants (n=12)
Hospital geographical region:	
Rural (<1 00 000 population)	6
Urban (>5 00 000 population)	6
Project physician champion (led the project)	10
Project physician supporter (supported a physician champion)	2
Years of clinical experience:	
Greater than 10 years	7
Years of formal administrative or leadership experience:	
Less than 10 years	8
Familiarity of coalition:	
Familiar	9
Familiarity of lab test ordering overuse and BUN test overuse:	
Familiar	10
Pre-project QI knowledge and application:	
Beginner	6
Pre-project QI leadership experience:	
Beginner	9
Coalition supported QI knowledge and application:	
Agree	9
Post-project change in QI knowledge and application or QI leadership:	
Improvement	4
Would you participate in a QI project supported by the coalition in the future?	
Yes response	11
Not applicable response	1

BUN, blood urea nitrogen; QI, quality improvement.

application or leadership postinitiative involvement. Participants (n=11) reported that they would participate in a QI-initiative supported by the coalition in the future (table 1).

Top five TDF domains

Coding congruence across all interviews and domains had substantial agreement ($\kappa=0.74$),²³ 809 statements from the 12 interviews were coded into 13 TDF domains. Count of the coded TDF domains (>50 coded phrases) determined that the top five domains were social influences; environmental contexts and resources; knowledge; social/professional role and identity and beliefs about capabilities (table 2). No coded data were aligned to the TDF domain memory.

Themes and relevant TDF domains

Thirty-seven TDF domain-specific themes were generated (table 3); these themes are interconnected and

Table 2 Count of the coded statements, domain-specific themes per TDF domain and participants

TDF domains		Number of coded statements	Number of domain specific themes per TDF domain	Number of participants (n=12)
1	Social influences	163	7	12
2	Environmental context and resources	137	6	11
3	Knowledge	113	4	11
4	Social professional role and identity	92	4	12
5	Beliefs about capabilities	56	3	11
6	Goals	43	1	8
7	Behavioural regulation	38	3	9
8	Beliefs about consequences	31	3	10
9	Optimism	31	1	11
10	Reinforcement	27	1	8
11	Skills	22	1	6
12	Intentions	18	1	8
13	Emotion	9	2	5
14	Memory	0	0	0

TDF, Theoretical Domains Framework.

were then grouped to form nine overarching themes (online supplemental file B). From these overarching themes, four themes align to the appropriate BUN-test ordering behaviour: (1) trusted local physician leader communicating about QI encourages participation; (2) provide QI-initiative plan/approach, data and physician role (3) order BUN tests mindfully not reflexively or habitually and (4) changing physician behaviour is difficult. Based on these themes, 10 relevant TDF domains were identified: knowledge; beliefs about consequences; beliefs about capabilities; social influences; social/professional role and identity; environmental context and resources, goals; intentions; behavioural regulation and optimism.

Five overarching themes aligned to QI leadership and participation behaviour: (5) QI education and hands-on opportunities support involvement; (6) physician mentorship; (7) coalition functions as a safe peer-to-peer QI community; (8) opportunity to lead, with support, a straightforward QI intervention that requires minimal effort and (9) physician QI involvement competed out. From these themes, eight relevant TDF domains were identified: knowledge; skills; beliefs about capabilities; social influences; social/professional role and identity; environmental context and resources, reinforcement and emotion.

The relevant TDF domains for each overarching theme were linked to the constructs of the COM-B. The following findings are formatted as: the overarching theme in italics; the deductive codes (TDF domains) in bold; the COM-B construct in brackets and the substantiating verbatim participant quotes within quotations.

Theme 1: trusted local physician leader communicating about QI encourages participation

Social influences (Opportunity: Social)

Participants emphasised the importance of associating the QI initiative with a physician leader's personal characteristics that influence colleague physician behaviour. Characteristics include being easy to get along with, trustworthy, credible and clinically knowledgeable. A participant stated, 'It definitely helps to have a physician face on the project, the more credible the physician the better, helps to have a good person lead, and [who is] easy to get along with'—P10. Another participant explained the importance of relationships as a motivator for the individual's initial participation by stating, 'Relationships do matter, if you know somebody, and you trust them, it's much more likely to do something that they are suggesting'—P11. Participants expressed the dissemination of the initiative should be completed by a local physician leader who is familiar with the environment and context. A participant stated, 'It's really important to have local physicians help to bring it in, as opposed to outside people'—P7.

Theme 2: provide QI-initiative plan/approach, data and physician role

Knowledge (capabilities: psychological), *social influences* (opportunity: social) *goals* (motivation: reflective), *Beliefs about consequences*, *intentions* and *social professional role and identity* (motivation: reflective).

Participants appreciated learning about all aspects of the initiative, including the plan/goals, clinical rationale and alignment to organisational strategies, the effect of a past intervention, local BUN data and the physician-QI

Table 3 TDF domains, domain-specific themes and example quotes

TDF domains	Domain-specific themes	Examples of interview quotes
Social influences	1. Physician QI mentorship 2. Coalition (SCIC) provides a forum for QI collaboration 3. 'Put a physician leader face' on the LTOO project 4. Coalition physician members spreading and championing the QI project 5. QI recognition 6. QI sharing (social comparison) to encourage QI 7. Urea lab test ordering physician conformity	'The biggest bang for the buck is mentorship' (P9) 'Integrate physicians into the QI frameworks and organization' (P2) 'Led by a trusted, high quality physician, who I know is going to lead the project' (P11) 'It is nice that it's from a physician leader perspective, who shares the QI issues and how they solved it' (P6) 'Physician-led projects adds value... in the eyes of other physicians' (P2) 'I think having a proof of concept showed in the presentation is excellent because...they did this, okay we can do this here' (P11) 'Physicians are educating their learners about the urea test change and why' (P2)
Environmental context and resources	8. Hospital culture differs 9. Minimal physician project effort, straightforward intervention with minimal workflow impact 10. Provide continual access to experienced support personnel to assist physician-led QI 11. Physician staffing challenges 12. COVID-19/pandemic reduced physician time to champion any additional tasks such as QI 13. Connect Care-IT system rollout/ implementation is a competing priority that reduces physician time to participate in QI activities	'Implementation of things that worked in another site may not necessarily work at a different site' (P7) 'Change component to the work flow was very minimal, didn't create a bunch of extra time for physicians' (P1) 'It's the person to assist to get it done, the sharing of abilities and expertise, it's awesome' (P10) 'Chronically understaffed, don't have physician coverage' (P7) 'Expanding the project has been challenging as everyone is extremely tasked with managing patients' (P3) 'Now physicians are like, how do I get through my 12-hour shift with a completely new EMR' (P7)
Knowledge	14. Coalition provided QI education and training encourages physician-led QI 15. LTOO project provides a hands-on QI opportunity 16. 'It is a think tank where physicians can discuss QI topics' 17. Coalition presentation improved LTOO awareness (utilisation and cost data)	'Provided QI workshop with the methodology and the science of improvement that was helpful' (P3) 'Just knowing how to be involved with the QI project' (P8) 'Bring a problem to the table and brainstorm ways to address' (P7) 'Made reasonable sense, seemed logical and well laid out during the presentation' (P8) and 'Given numbers to something that we've suspected and known' (P12)
Social professional role and identity	18. Shepherd (chaperone) physician QI leadership role and provide opportunity to lead 19. Physician champion does not view themselves as leading the LTOO project 20. LTOO project aligned to health organisation priorities with support from medical and organisational leaders 21. Competing demands—'Juggling clinical demands'	'In the past we were asked to participate, today, we are asked to lead' (P2) and 'Shepherd physician-led QI projects' (P10) 'Knowing somebody else was running it was great' (P1) and 'Co-leadership role' (P10) 'Project was recognized as a strategic priority provided more credence to the work, it was Choosing Wisely funded also showed that there was professional and organizational priority' (P5) 'Physicians don't have the time to seek the knowledge of QI, also carry out a project when you're on busy clinical service' (P2)
Beliefs about capabilities	22. QI is a new skillset for physicians which impacts confidence 23. Lab test ordering is a learnt and ingrained practice 24. Simple Intervention increased confidence to lead QI implementation	'I feel very beginner and newbie in terms of QI, its foreign and isn't a concept that is well ingrained' (P1) 'It's an ingrained [learnt], auto practice; the more physicians are in practice and develop a routine and almost a habit' (P1) 'It wasn't taxing for me, supported my participation' (P12) and 'Time commitment for me was little' (P1)

Continued

Table 3 Continued

TDF domains	Domain-specific themes	Examples of interview quotes
Beliefs about consequences	25. Anticipated positive intervention implementation effect (reduced urea ordering) 26. Perceived threat to physician choice and freedom—‘being told what to do’ 27. Physician-led QI was competed out	‘Pretty clean; everyone sees it as a pretty good idea’ (P10) ‘Creates that ivory tower sort of feeling, when you’re just being told what to do ...don’t want things imposed on them’ (P7) ‘There is not an appetite for [QI] right now’ (P7)
Behavioural regulation	28. Transition from reflexive/ habitual ordering to mindful (evidence-based, patient required) ordering 29. Sustain physician order behaviour change through data reflection and integration in medical learner curriculum 30. ConnectCare (IT system) standardises the lab order sets and the urea blood test has been removed	‘I now think about it instead of automatically just running down the list’ (P1) ‘Support ongoing reflection and data collection’ (P1) and ‘Education will need to become integrated into learner curriculum’ (P2) ‘The most effective thing is really just changing the form’ (P3) and ‘Removing choice (urea blood test) from paper and IT order forms’ (P1)
Emotion	31. QI project experience was positive, targeted a grassroots annoying problem, with minimal physician QI effort, motivating physician participation 32. Physicians emotional well-being is impacting their ability to engage in QI	‘This has been a positive experience’ (P1) and ‘The thoughts and feeling with the project were more that it was grassroots on the ward’ (P6) ‘Trying to provide knowledge or practice change to a group of people who are sort of overworked, trying to sort of balance and survive in their existence’ (P7)
Goals	33. Project goals and benefits were clear and that lab ordering is a patient safety and financial issue	‘Anyone can understand the goals and for that reason, I think that helped this QI project get traction’ (P11), and ‘Seeing how much we spend in urea blood testing is alarming’ (P6)
Skills	34. Past hands-on QI training and involvement encourages future physician QI involvement	‘I think having had some formal knowledge of QI processes [helped]’ (P3)
Intentions	35. Meet physicians where they are at with a change idea/concept, share the why to motivate grassroots physicians to improve order behaviour	‘I think the most importantly, was having a really strong evidence of the ‘Why.’ (P1)
Optimism	36. Pessimistic—‘Tough to change physician behaviour’	‘Have to drag along the rest of the group’ (P10) and ‘I think the barrier would be in general physician behaviour’ (P8)
Reinforcement	37. No incentives for physician QI involvement (funding/grants and remuneration)	‘You’re never going to get anyone to do anything if they are not remunerated’ (P7) ‘We don’t get paid any extra income for doing QI’ (P12)

LTOO, Laboratory Test Ordering Overuse; QI, quality improvement; SCIC, Strategic Clinical Improvement Committee; TDF, Theoretical Domains Framework.

leadership role. The following quotes illustrate this preference: ‘It was interesting to see how it was approached, what went well, what didn’t and do not need to start from scratch, is really helpful’—P5 and ‘Provided all of the information needed to roll this out, which made it easy to participate’—P1. Participants emphasised the importance of viewing data for their specific clinical programme, two individuals stated: ‘just seeing the data was pretty compelling’—P6; ‘being able to see (BUN) data before and after is a key part of any QI project, and they presented that which helped us understand’—P11.

Theme 3: order BUN tests mindfully not reflexively or habitually
Beliefs about capabilities (motivation: reflective), *behavioural regulation* (capability: psychological) and *social influences* (opportunity: social)

Hospital-based physicians admitted ordering BUN was due to ‘habitual ordering’—P12. Another participant reflected, ‘Why do I order urea all the time? I don’t even care what the number is in the vast majority of the cases, why am I doing it’—P11. Participants perceived paper-based order forms with check boxes made ordering easier, suggesting removal of the BUN-test check box and an electronic update of order panels, with provision of regular audit reports, would sustain change. Individuals shared the following perspectives: ‘There is a root cause, urea blood test exists on order sets’—P10; ‘Changing the automatic order sets in the IT-system and actually removing the choice from physicians is probably the number one intervention’—P1; and ‘Ongoing evaluation and review [is needed] to increase focus on mindful ordering’—P2.

Participants recognised the social influences and conformity behaviour regarding BUN ordering, with individuals stating, ‘Some physicians may have felt they had to change as everyone else had’—P2 and ‘We should all be working on trying to improve BUN ordering and if others can do it then we should be able to improve’—P4.

Theme 4: changing physician behaviour is difficult

Optimism, beliefs about consequences (motivation: reflective) and *environmental context and resources* (opportunity: physical).

Participants were pessimistic about changing ordering practices and mentioned the difficulty to change physician behaviour. One participant shared; ‘I think it’s going to be tough to change physician behaviour’—P8. Another stated, ‘Physicians may perceive a threat to their choice and freedom, and do not like being told what to do’—P7. Optimism about QI participation and initiative leadership was mentioned as being supported by training and personal interest. A participant shared; ‘My optimistic side feels that if we give good QI training that would motivate [physicians] to be more involved and I had enthusiasm and (QI) interest’—P11.

Theme 5: QI education and hands-on opportunities support involvement

Knowledge (capability: psychological), *skills* (capability: physical) and *Beliefs about capabilities* (motivation: reflective).

Eleven participants stated that QI was a new skill not taught in their medical training affecting their confidence to participate or lead. One participant stated, ‘QI is a very specific set of skills that may be new to some physicians; when asked to participate or lead projects, the confidence to do that may not be there’—P2. Participants’ perceived QI education including an opportunity for hands-on application supported their involvement in the initiative. Two participants shared: ‘(QI) training created opportunity, awareness and knowledge to engage physicians in this work’—P2 and ‘[QI] knowledge and just knowing how to be involved with the QI project [helped]’—P8.

Theme 6: physician mentorship

Social influences (opportunity: social) and *Social professional role and identity* (motivation: reflective).

Participants mentioned direct access to and guidance from physician colleagues with QI experience encouraged their leadership. One participant noted, ‘Attaching myself to a physician doing this project was helpful for me to learn and think’—P1. Another stated ‘Having physician partners to support and offer guidance helped with my development and [initiative] implementation’—P5. Additionally, participants reported that they did not perceive themselves as the initiative leader, rather as coleaders or helpers, alleviating the pressure to lead. A participant commented, ‘I don’t see myself as the primary owner of this project, a liaison to help support’—P1.

Theme 7: coalition functions as a safe peer-to-peer QI community
Knowledge (capability: psychological) and *Social influences* (opportunities: social).

Participants viewed the coalition as a QI community of practice that brings colleague physicians together to share experiences and to obtain advice. This is reflected in the following quotes: ‘Provides both QI knowledge and shares information on physician-led QI activities’—P3; ‘Coalition is a think tank where physicians can discuss QI topics’—P5 and ‘It is a safe environment for sharing as physicians learn and lead QI projects and to work through the struggles with likeminded physicians’—P2.

Theme 8: opportunity to lead, with support, a straightforward QI intervention that requires minimal effort

Environmental context and resources (capability: psychological), *beliefs about capabilities* (motivation: reflective) and *emotion* (motivation: automatic).

The initiative provided physicians the opportunity to participate, lead and implement an intervention with minimal workflow impact and effort, along with QI-personnel support. Comments reflecting this include: ‘The intervention was simple, that was attractive to me in terms of my involvement and gave me a level of confidence’—P3; ‘I knew I was going to get a lot of assistance’—P4 and ‘I really like this project narrow focus, supported my confidence to participate’—P11.

Theme 9: physician QI involvement competed out

Environmental context and resources (opportunity: physical), *social professional role and identity*, *beliefs about consequences* (motivation: reflection), *emotion* and *reinforcement* (motivation: automatic).

The challenge between clinical obligations and the initiative was a common sentiment impacting their involvement. A participant reflected, ‘Physicians finding the time to engage in QI projects while maintaining clinical service is always a juggle, clinical service will take precedent’—P2. Physician staffing challenges and competing priorities were exacerbated by the COVID-19 pandemic and the provincial implementation of an electronic medical record system. A participant explained this viewpoint with the following comment: ‘COVID-19 been in the back of everyone’s collective minds, the heavy clinical workload resulted in my lack of participation in this project, the challenge is QI [has] been competed out’—P7. Other individuals shared, ‘[Physicians are] being pulled to participate in the provincial IT system launch limiting our [availability]’—P3.

The pandemic had an impact on physicians’ emotional well-being, affecting their ability to participate in and lead this initiative. One participant described this limitation as follows: ‘There’s been a huge change in terms of medical staff mentality over the past year we simply do not have the resources to do it and I don’t like to use the word burnout, but people are tired’—P10. Participants expressed concern regarding a lack of remuneration, which they stated affects physician QI involvement.

Individuals stated: ‘Physicians are not paid to engage in QI projects and must do so on their own time’—P2 and ‘There is zero financial remuneration for QI’—P10.

Intervention functions

Aligning the relevant TDF domains within the overarching themes to the BCW²⁶ identified future intervention

Table 4 Intervention functions, policies and definitions with examples of participant-identified interventions (based on Michie *et al*)

Intervention function	Definition	Examples of identified interventions
Education	Increase knowledge or understanding	Offer QI and clinical laboratory educational sessions (clinical best practice); and Apply guidelines (laboratory test ordering protocols)
Persuasion	Communication is used to elicit positive or negative emotions, or to stimulate action	Communication from a credible physician leader to encourage behavioural test ordering change and QI project participation
Incentivisation	Create expectation of reward	Provide financial reimbursement for physician-led QI
Coercion/ consequence	Create expectation of negative consequence, punishment or cost	Provide cost data to demonstrate negative consequence
Training	Impart skills	Provide hands-on experiential QI opportunity to apply knowledge and leadership
Restriction	Using rules to reduce the opportunity to engage in the target behaviour (or to increase the target behaviour by reducing the opportunity to engage in competing behaviours)	Limit lab test order frequency when results are normal—ie, once per week, etc.
Environmental restructuring	Changing the physical or social context	Update paper and IT lab test order forms, to prevent reflexive ordering
Modelling	Providing an example for people to aspire to or imitate	Provide examples of past physician-led projects targeting lab test reduction Provide physician QI mentors
Enablement	Increasing means/reducing barriers to increase capability or opportunity	Provide support personnel-QI consultant and data analysts Provide frequent audit reports—lab test utilisation data to enable practice change
Policies		
Communication/ marketing	Using print, electronic, telephone or broadcast media	Display posters on the hospital units Decision support tools, provided at points of physician ordering to encourage appropriate testing
Guidelines	Creating documents that recommend or mandate practice. This includes all changes to service provision	Develop and disseminate laboratory test ordering guidelines.
Fiscal	Using the health organisation budgeting processes to reduce or increase the financial cost	Report and disseminate organisational budgetary impacts related lab test ordering
Regulation	Establishing rules or principles of behaviour or practice	Implement or adapt as required organisational wide Choosing Wisely recommendations
Legislation	Making or changing laws	Prohibit diagnostic testing resource waste, monitored and reported by health organisation
Environmental/social planning	Designing and/or controlling the physical or social environment	Engage laboratory medicine and physician professional association leaders in strategic planning regarding lab ordering Engage health organisations human resources dept. regarding formalisation of physician-QI leadership role (job description)
Service provision	Delivering a service	Establish formal physician QI leadership role and job description (QI activities have priority)
QI, quality improvement.		



strategies (table 4) that included: (1) education in QI and clinical laboratory medicine; (2) provide hands-on QI opportunities for knowledge and leadership; (3) physician role modelling QI to aspire to or imitate; (4) employ persuasion (a local credible physician) to facilitate participation; (5) provide successful physician-led projects targeting lab test reduction; (6) provide data and human resource support; (7) provide cost data to demonstrate consequence; (8) offer incentives, remuneration and formal physician QI roles to encourage involvement; (9) apply guidelines (laboratory test ordering protocols); (10) restrictions (limit test order frequency when results are normal) and (11) environmental restructuring (modify laboratory order panels/forms) to reduce unnecessary test ordering.

DISCUSSION

This study employed a systematic, theory-based approach to identify behavioural characteristics that were perceived to influence physician QI participation, leadership and appropriate BUN ordering. Eight TDF domains were found to influence QI leadership and participation and 10 TDF domains influenced BUN ordering. Nine overarching themes were generated from 37 domain-specific themes, and 11 intervention functions were identified to inform future initiatives.

Physicians perceived appropriate (reduce excess) BUN-ordering behaviour practice change required a credible physician leader with a multistrategy/initiative approach that includes person-focused (ie, education, laboratory test data, clinical best-practice/guidelines, physician role) and system-focused (ie, paper or IT order form modifications) intervention functions.²⁹ The intervention functions identified in this study corroborate recent evidence that interventions aimed at laboratory testing require multiple strategies.^{30–32} The use of credible local opinion leaders defined as healthcare providers in a specific area who communicate, role model and provide support for the application of best practice evidence/guidelines have been reported to contribute to project success.³³ The QI-initiative educational presentation included the use of social comparison as an additional source of motivation to change behaviour.³⁴ Previous initiative BUN-test reduction data were also included and this was perceived as compelling and meaningful to physicians. This finding paralleled a recent survey reporting physicians in hospitals required data to promote a change in order behaviour.³⁰

Study participants mentioned many barriers to their QI involvement found in literature, including high clinical workload, lack of time, limited QI skills and training, lack of access to data and support from hospital/health organisation administration and lack of financial compensation.^{4 6} An additional barrier identified in this study was a lack of clarity about the physician QI role and responsibility. From the participants' interviews, various strategies that enable QI-involvement behaviour

change were identified. These include physician coalition leader or member credibility and awareness of, or a past relationship with a coalition member was found to be more important to their initial engagement than knowledge of coalition existence. As well as, providing QI education, personnel and data assistance, experiential QI opportunities that required minimal effort, QI-initiative role clarity, physician mentorship and organisational leadership support were all found to enable physician behaviour change. These strategies/interventions are similar to those reported as important in other physician QI programmes.^{35–38} The coalition, a peer-to-peer QI community, was perceived as a motivator for behavioural change. A recent study noted, forming a 'consortium of clinical [physician] QI leaders who both practice clinically and work on the frontlines of QI leadership', p800³⁹ would increase QI, implementation and dissemination of initiatives among physicians, implying the potential of this approach as a QI engagement strategy.

The TDF and BCW provided a systematic approach to identify the theoretical reasons that change occurs, allowed for cross-checking the allocation of domains,⁴⁰ and were a good choice for researchers with limited experience with behavioural theories.⁸ For physician and organisational leaders, these study findings can be used to design future physician-led initiatives about appropriate diagnostic test ordering and to advocate for resources that support strategies to reduce barriers to physician-QI involvement. To gain additional insights, next steps include integrating the study findings with quantitative data (monthly BUN-test order volumes) for each participating hospital.

Limitations

There is a potential for selection bias, participants were the physician leads and supporters, who may have been more motivated towards QI than physicians who did not lead/participate. Participant interviews occurred during the second and third wave of the COVID-19 pandemic; most interviews were shorter than planned and occurred during work hours, which may have affected participants' response due to competing demands. Investigating multiple behaviours at the same time was challenging to analyse and separate.

CONCLUSION

The identified characteristics aligned to several TDF domains that influence physician leadership, participation and appropriate BUN-test ordering behaviours, implying these behaviours are multidimensional constructs that require a multistrategy approach to change behaviour. Based on our findings, the key interventions that influence physician behaviour change for QI involvement and appropriate laboratory test ordering were QI education with hands-on training, physician peer mentorship/support, personnel assistance (QI and analytics),

communication from a trusted/credible physician leader who shares data, insights about the initiative physician role, clinical best practice and past project success. As well, a simply designed initiative requiring minimal effort with no clinical workflow disruptions, revised order forms/panels and limiting test order frequency when laboratory tests are normal are also important. Future research is needed to determine the effectiveness of the identified interventions and to understand the change management aspects involved in physician-led QI.

Twitter Lenora Duhn @LenoraDuhn

Acknowledgements The authors are grateful to all the physicians who participated in the study and who generously gave their time to share their experiences.

Contributors PM: led project design, analysis, wrote and reviewed the manuscript and is the guarantor for this work. JM: academic supervisor of PM reviewed all aspects of the study such as design, analysis, and manuscript development. SM: assisted with data collection and analysis. SM, NK, KS, LD and JM: critically reviewed and edited the manuscript. All authors approved the final manuscript.

Funding Choosing Wisely Alberta grant funded the project titled, 'The Effectiveness of a Sequenced Multi-component Intervention: Reducing Urea Utilization and Laboratory Test Order Frequency in Alberta'. No award/grant number.

Competing interests None declared.

Patient and public involvement Patients and/or the public were not involved in the design, or conduct, or reporting, or dissemination plans of this research.

Patient consent for publication Not applicable.

Ethics approval The study was approved by Queen's University Health Sciences & Affiliated Teaching Hospitals Research Ethics Board (TRAQ#: 6030885) and University of Alberta Health Research Ethics Board (Pro00106594). Participants gave informed consent to participate in the study before taking part.

Provenance and peer review Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

Data availability statement No data are available.

Open access This is an open access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited, appropriate credit is given, any changes made indicated, and the use is non-commercial. See: <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>.

ORCID iDs

Pamela Mathura <http://orcid.org/0000-0001-7124-3526>

Lenora Duhn <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-0401-953X>

REFERENCES

- Freedman DB. Towards Better Test Utilization - Strategies to Improve Physician Ordering and Their Impact on Patient Outcomes. *EJIFCC* 2015;26:15–30.
- Jalbert R, Gob A, Chin-Yee I. Decreasing daily blood work in hospitals: What works and what doesn't. *Int J Lab Hematol* 2019;41 Suppl 1:151–61. -.
- Hall A. The (nonfinancial) benefits of reducing unnecessary lab testing. healthcare financial management, 2018. Available: <https://api.hfma.org/Content.aspx?id=59162>
- Yousefi V, Asghari-Roodsari A, Evans S, et al. Determinants of hospital-based physician participation in quality improvement: a survey of hospitalists in British Columbia, Canada. *Global Journal on Quality and Safety in Healthcare* 2020;3:6–13.
- Van Aerde J, Dickson G. Accepting our responsibility: A blue print for physician leadership in transforming Canada's health care system. White Paper, 2017. Available: <https://www.canadianhealthcarenetwork.ca/files/2017/02/CSPLWhitepaper2017.pdf>
- Mahbooba Z, Chera B, Everts L. Engaging physicians in quality improvement in a hospital setting: a scoping review. *Am J Med Qual* 2021;36:328–36.
- Levinson W, Kallewaard M, Bhatia RS, et al. 'Choosing wisely': a growing international campaign. *BMJ Qual Saf* 2015;24:167–74.
- Grimshaw JM, Patey AM, Kirkham KR, et al. De-implementing wisely: developing the evidence base to reduce low-value care. *BMJ Qual Saf* 2020;29:409–17.
- Calder Bateman. University of Alberta department of medicine strategic plan, 2014. Available: <https://cloudfront.ualberta.ca/-/media/medicine/departments/medicine/strategic-plan/2014-strategicplanreport.pdf> [Accessed 13 Oct 2019].
- Mathura P, Marini S, Spalding K, et al. Physician-led quality improvement: a blueprint for building capacity. *CJPL* 2022;8:51–8.
- Butterfoss F. *Coalitions and partnerships in community health*. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass, 2007.
- Kelly CS, Meurer JR, Lachance LL, et al. Engaging health care providers in coalition activities. *Health Promot Pract* 2006;7:66S–75.
- Cane J, O'Connor D, Michie S. Validation of the theoretical domains framework for use in behaviour change and implementation research. *Implement Sci* 2012;7:1–17.
- Michie S, Richardson M, Johnston M, et al. The behavior change technique taxonomy (V1) of 93 hierarchically clustered techniques: building an international consensus for the reporting of behavior change interventions. *Ann Behav Med* 2013;46:81–95.
- Sarmast H, Mosavianpour M, Collet J-P, et al. Tdf (theoretical domain framework): how inclusive are TDF domains and constructs compared to other tools for assessing barriers to change? *BMC Health Serv Res* 2014;14:P81.
- Atkins L, Francis J, Islam R, et al. A guide to using the theoretical domains framework of behaviour change to investigate implementation problems. *Implement Sci* 2017;12:77.
- Cadogan SL, McHugh SM, Bradley CP, et al. General practitioner views on the determinants of test ordering: a theory-based qualitative approach to the development of an intervention to improve immunoglobulin requests in primary care. *Implement Sci* 2016;11:102.
- Patey AM, Islam R, Francis JJ, et al. Anesthesiologists' and surgeons' perceptions about routine pre-operative testing in low-risk patients: application of the theoretical domains framework (TDF) to identify factors that influence physicians' decisions to order pre-operative tests. *Implement Sci* 2012;7:52.
- Michie S, Johnston M, Abraham C, et al. Making psychological theory useful for implementing evidence based practice: a consensus approach. *Qual Saf Health Care* 2005;14:26–33.
- Toman I, Mathura P, Kassam N. A multifaceted quality improvement initiative to reduce unnecessary laboratory testing on internal medicine inpatient wards. *Canadian Journal of General Internal Medicine* 2020;15:30–7.
- Mathura P, Boettger C, Hagtvedt R, et al. Does admission order form design really matter? A reduction in urea blood test ordering. *BMJ Open Qual* 2021;10:e001330.
- Mayring P. Qualitative content analysis. Forum: qualitative social research. *Qualitative Research* 2000;1:20 <https://www.qualitative-research.net/index.php/fqs/article/view/1089>
- McHugh ML. Interrater reliability: the kappa statistic. *Biochem Med* 2012;22:276–82.
- Clarke V, Braun V. Thematic analysis. *J Posit Psychol* 2017;12:297–8.
- Guest G, MacQueen K, Namey E. Introduction to Applied Thematic Analysis. In: *Applied thematic analysis*. SAGE Publications, Inc, 2014: 3–20.
- Michie S, van Stralen MM, West R. The behaviour change wheel: a new method for characterising and designing behaviour change interventions. *Implement Sci* 2011;6:42.
- John C, David C. *Research design : qualitative, quantitative, and mixed methods approaches*. SAGE, 2018.
- Tong A, Sainsbury P, Craig J. Consolidated criteria for reporting qualitative research (COREQ): a 32-item checklist for interviews and focus groups. *Int J Qual Health Care* 2007;19:349–57.
- Soong C, Shojania KG. Education as a low-value improvement intervention: often necessary but rarely sufficient. *BMJ Qual Saf* 2020;29:353–7.
- Bodley T, Kwan JL, Matelski J, et al. Self-Reported test ordering practices among Canadian internal medicine physicians and trainees: a multicenter cross-sectional survey. *BMC Health Serv Res* 2019;19:820.
- Nash DM, Garg AX, Brimble KS, et al. Primary care provider perceptions of enablers and barriers to following guideline-recommended laboratory tests to confirm chronic kidney disease: a qualitative descriptive study. *BMC Fam Pract* 2018;19:192.
- Bindraban RS, van Beneden M, Kramer MHH, et al. Association of a multifaceted intervention with ordering of unnecessary laboratory tests among caregivers in internal medicine departments. *JAMA Netw Open* 2019;2:e197577.



- 33 Medves J, Godfrey C, Turner C, *et al.* Systematic review of practice guideline dissemination and implementation strategies for healthcare teams and team-based practice. *Int J Evid Based Healthc* 2010;8:79–89.
- 34 Ryskina K, Jessica Dine C, Gitelman Y, *et al.* Effect of social comparison feedback on laboratory test ordering for hospitalized patients: a randomized controlled trial. *J Gen Intern Med* 2018;33:1639–45.
- 35 Goitein L. Clinician-Directed performance improvement: moving beyond externally mandated metrics. *Health Aff* 2020;39:264–72.
- 36 Hoag G. The Physician Quality Improvement initiative: Improving BC's health care system one project at a time. *BC Medical Journal* 2019;61:291.
- 37 Li J, Hinami K, Hansen LO, *et al.* The physician mentored implementation model: a promising quality improvement framework for health care change. *Acad Med* 2015;90:303–10.
- 38 Maynard GA, Budnitz TL, Nickel WK, *et al.* Mentored implementation: building leaders and achieving results through a collaborative improvement model. *The Joint Commission Journal on Quality and Patient Safety* 2012;38:301–3.
- 39 Li L, Black WE, Cheung EH, *et al.* Building psychiatric quality programs and defining quality leadership roles at four academic medical centers. *Acad Psychiatry* 2020;44:795–801.
- 40 McSherry LA, Dombrowski SU, Francis JJ, *et al.* 'It's a can of worms': understanding primary care practitioners' behaviours in relation to HPV using the theoretical domains framework. *Implement Sci* 2012;7:73.

A Qualitative Analysis of a Coalition's Characteristics that Influence Physician Behavior

Supplemental File A- Interview Guide Part 1 and Part 2

Interview Guide Part 1

Demographics	
1. Years of clinical practice experience	<input type="checkbox"/> <10 years <input type="checkbox"/> 10-19 years <input type="checkbox"/> 20 plus years
2. Years of formal administrative/leadership experience:	<input type="checkbox"/> <10 years <input type="checkbox"/> 10-19 years <input type="checkbox"/> 20 plus years
3. What is your formal position or leadership role/title:	
Organizational and Change Management	
	<i>Not at all Familiar</i> <i>Somewhat Familiar</i> <i>Familiar</i> <i>Very Familiar</i>
1. How familiar are you that a physician QI leadership coalition called the SCIC was developed to focus on health system improvement including QI knowledge and application?	<input type="checkbox"/> 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 2 <input type="checkbox"/> 3 <input type="checkbox"/> 4
2. How familiar are you that lab test ordering overuse (LTOO) and urea (BUN) utilization was an issue in Alberta?	<input type="checkbox"/> 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 2 <input type="checkbox"/> 3 <input type="checkbox"/> 4
QI Knowledge and Application	
	<i>Novice Not at all</i> <i>Beginner</i> <i>Competent</i> <i>Proficient</i> <i>Expert</i>
3. Prior to implementing the LTOO QI Project:	
a. How would you rate your QI knowledge and application?	<input type="checkbox"/> 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 2 <input type="checkbox"/> 3 <input type="checkbox"/> 4 <input type="checkbox"/> 5
b. How would you rate your QI leadership experience?	<input type="checkbox"/> 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 2 <input type="checkbox"/> 3 <input type="checkbox"/> 4 <input type="checkbox"/> 5
4. Post implementing the LTOO QI Project/initiative:	
a. How would you rate your QI knowledge and application?	<input type="checkbox"/> 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 2 <input type="checkbox"/> 3 <input type="checkbox"/> 4 <input type="checkbox"/> 5
b. How would you rate your QI leadership experience?	<input type="checkbox"/> 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 2 <input type="checkbox"/> 3 <input type="checkbox"/> 4 <input type="checkbox"/> 5
Role of Coalition Related to QI Knowledge	
	<i>Strongly Disagree</i> <i>Disagree</i> <i>Neutral</i> <i>Agree</i> <i>Strongly agree</i>
5. Do you think the coalition supported your QI knowledge and application?	<input type="checkbox"/> 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 2 <input type="checkbox"/> 3 <input type="checkbox"/> 4 <input type="checkbox"/> 5
If agree- How did the coalition support your QI knowledge?	
If disagree or neutral-Can you elaborate?	

Interview Guide Part 2-Semi-Structured Interview Guide Using TDF Framework *Definitions and constructs: Cane et al. 2012

COM-B Component	TDF Domains	TDF Definitions* and Theoretical constructs represented within each domain*	Questions/Prompts
Psychological capability	Knowledge	An awareness of the existence of something. (Knowledge including knowledge of condition/scientific rationale. Procedural knowledge. Knowledge of task environment.)	<u>Create a sense of urgency:</u> 1. Did the coalition have a role in increasing your awareness or sense of urgency about the issues of lab test over ordering, more specifically urea –blood test over ordering? 2. What are your thoughts about a coalition-comprised of speciality physicians working together on specific health system issues?
Physical capability	Skills	An ability or proficiency acquired through practice. (Skills, Skill development , Competence, Ability , Interpersonal skills, Practice, and Skill assessment)	See Part 1
	Social professional role and identity	A coherent set of behaviors and displayed personal qualities of an individual in a social or work setting. (Professional identity, Professional role Social identity, Professional boundaries Professional confidence, Group identity Leadership and Organizational commitment)	<u>Physician QI Leadership role and identity:</u> 3. Have you taken on a QI leadership role or position in the past? > If yes, can you share briefly what your role and responsibilities were > If no, why not? 3a. What barriers and supports are there for physician regarding QI participation or leadership? 3b. What barriers and supports are there regarding resource stewardship QI projects/initiatives like LTOO? 4. Did the coalition contribute to your organizational physician QI role or QI commitments? Yes/No, If No-move to next question If yes, what aspects of the coalition had the greatest impact on your leadership role or QI commitments?
	Beliefs about capabilities	Acceptance of the truth, reality, or validity about an ability, talent, or facility that a person can put to constructive use. (Self-confidence , Perceived competence Self-efficacy, Perceived behavioral control Beliefs, Self-esteem, Empowerment and Professional confidence)	5. How confident were you about participating in the LTOO QI project? 5a. How confident were you about leading the LTOO QI project? 5b. What supported your confidence?
	Beliefs about consequences	Acceptance of the truth, reality, or validity about outcomes of a behaviour in a given situation. (Beliefs, Outcome expectancies Characteristics of outcome expectancies Anticipated regret and Consequences)	<u>Strategic management:</u> 6. Did the coalition assist in your understanding of the strategic priority, vision, expectations and consequences related to this QI project?

	Goals	Goals (distal/proximal) Goal priority Goal/target setting Goals (autonomous/controlled) Action planning	<u>Generate short term wins-LTOO initiative goal:</u> 7. Did the coalition assist with strategic action planning and goal setting? 8. What impact did the following have on the monthly urea utilization rate? (decrease, increase or stayed the same) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➢ Influential physician leaders (coalition leader, region leader, QI physician team leader) ➢ Intervention components 9. Do you think physician order behaviour changed regarding urea blood testing? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➢ If Yes, What do you perceive was the reason (s) for the change? If No, why not?
Reflective motivation	Intention	A conscious decision to perform a behavior or resolve to act in a certain way. Mental representations of outcomes or end states that an individual wants to achieve. (Stability of intentions Stages of change model Trans-theoretical model and stages of change, and implementation intention)	<u>Enlist an Army:</u> 10. Did the awareness of the coalition influence your participation and QI project intervention implementation? 10a. How important was your awareness that both the coalition leader, region leader and the medicine executive director supported the LTOO QI project regarding your QI participation and leadership? 10b. Did the intervention components and QI approach impact your motivation for participating, leading and implementing the changes?
Psychological capability	Memory, attention and decision processes	The ability to retain information, focus selectively on aspects of the environment and choose between two or more alternatives. (Memory, Attention, Attention control Decision making, Cognitive overload/tiredness)	
Physical opportunity	Environmental context and resources	Any circumstance of a person's situation or environment that discourages or encourages the development of skills and abilities, independence, social competence, and adaptive behavior. (Environmental stressors Resources/material resources Organizational culture/climate Salient events/critical incidents Person x environment interaction Barriers and facilitators)	<u>Environmental barriers and facilitators:</u> 11. Do you think that any of the following had an influence or not on whether you participated/lead this QI project: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➢ COVID 19 ➢ Choosing wisely campaign ➢ Hospital IT system implementation ➢ Health organization or government priorities ➢ Daily clinical priorities ➢ Anything else?
Social opportunity	Social influences	Those interpersonal processes that can cause individuals to change their thoughts, feelings, or behaviors. (Social pressure, Social norms, Group conformity, Social comparisons, Group norms, Social support, Power, Intergroup conflict, Alienation, Group identity)	<u>Coalition Influential Physician Leader:</u> <u>Leader bases of power:</u> 12. Did the coalition physician leader have an impact on your decision to participate, lead and implement the QI project interventions? <u>Political Influence tactics:</u> 13. Were there any specific actions, or communications by physician leaders (coalition or region leader) that may have affected your decision to participate or lead this QI

	Modeling) <i>Social and Group norms: {Opinion leaders represent the social norms within the network and others trust them to compare innovations with the exiting norms and demands of the local situation}</i>	project/initiative? 14. Reflecting back, what were the key characteristics that supported your decision for QI participation and leadership of this QI project?
Emotion	A complex reaction pattern, involving experiential, behavioural, and psychological elements, by which an individual attempts to deal with a personally significant matter or event. (Fear, Anxiety, Affect, Stress, Depression, positive /negative effect Burn-out)	
Behavioural regulation	Anything aimed at managing or changing objectively observed or measured actions. (Self-monitoring, Breaking habit, Action planning)	
Optimism	The confidence that things will happen for the best or that desired goals will be attained. (Pessimism Unrealistic optimism Identity)	
Reinforcement	Increasing the probability of a response by arranging a dependent relationship, or contingency, between the response and a given stimulus (Incentives, Punishment, Consequents, Reinforcement, Contingencies, Sanctions)	15. How effective do you think the coalition was at facilitating the spread of this QI project? 16a. Do you think coalition impacts physician QI leadership culture? 16b. Would you participate in QI projects supported by coalition in the future? If yes, can you elaborate and If no, why not 16c. In the future, do you think using a similar physician QI leadership approach (coalition) is appropriate for physician involvement in QI activities? 17. What else should be done to encourage and support physician QI participation/ leadership in the future?

A Qualitative Analysis of a Coalition's Characteristics that Influence Physician Behavior

Supplemental File B

Overarching Themes, Relevant TDF Domains, Domain themes, Illustrative Quotes, COM-B constructs and Linked Intervention Functions

Overarching Theme	TDF domains	Domain themes	Examples of interview quotes	COM-B Construct	Linked intervention functions
1. QI education and hands-on opportunities supports involvement*	Knowledge	1. Coalition provided QI education and training encourages physician-led QI 2. LTOO project provides a experiential (hands-on) QI opportunity	“Provided QI workshop with the methodology and the science of improvement that was helpful” (P3) “Knowledge and just knowing how to, how to be involved with the QI project” (P8)	Capability (Psychological)	Education Training Enablement
	Skills	3. Past hands on QI training and involvement encourages future physician QI involvement	“I think having had some formal knowledge of QI processes [helped]” (P7) “I had some experience with both QI and lab overuse when I was a medical resident” (P5)	Capability (Physical)	Training Enablement
	Beliefs about capabilities	4. QI is a new skillset for physicians which impacts confidence	“I feel very beginner and newbie in terms of QI, its foreign and isn't a concept that is well ingrained” (P1)	Motivation (Reflective)	Persuasion Enablement
2. Physician mentorship*	Social influences	5. Physician QI mentorship	“Providing a mentor, or that type of relationship would really help” (P11) “The biggest bang for the buck is mentorship” (P9)	Opportunity (Social)	Modeling Enablement Incentivisation
	Social professional role and identity	6. Shepard (chaperone) Physician QI leadership role and provide opportunity to lead 7. Physician champion does not view themselves as leading the LTOO project	“In the past we were asked to participate, today, they are asked to lead” (P2) “Shepherd physician-led QI projects” (P10) “Allowed me to lead and establish my physician QI role” (P5) “Knowing somebody else was running it was great” (P1) “Co-leadership role” (P10)	Motivation (reflection)	Incentivisation Persuasion Enablement
3. Coalition functions as a safe peer-to-peer QI community*	Knowledge	8. “It is a think tank where physicians can discuss QI topics”	“Bring a problem to the table and brainstorm ways to address and then scale and spread” (P7)	Capability (psychological)	Education Persuasion
	Social influences	9. Coalition (SCIC) provides a forum for QI collaboration	“Beneficial for any site, program or group of physicians looking to engage in QI and create QI as a priority within their culture, integrate physicians into the QI frameworks and organization” (P2)	Opportunities (social)	Enablement Modelling
4. Trusted local physician leader sharing QI encourages involvement**	Social influences	10. “Put a physician leader face” on the LTOO project 11. Coalition physician members spreading and championing the QI project	“Simple straight forward project led by a trusted, high quality physician, who I know is going to lead the project, moving it forward.” (P11) “The coalition physician leader was an influence” (P4) “I just think it is nice that it's from a physician leader perspective, who shares the QI issues and how they solved it” (P6)	Opportunity (Social)	Modelling Enablement

5. Provide initiative approach, data and physician role**	Knowledge	12. Coalition presentation improved LTOO awareness (utilization and cost data)	“Made reasonable sense, seemed logical and well laid out during the presentation” (P8) “Rarely, do we ever actually get presented with the numbers,... probably one of the most helpful things” (P10) “Access to information, not only the what but the how its provided” (P12)	Capabilities (Psychological)	Education Restrictions Training Persuasion
	Social influences	13.QI recognition	“The credibility with QI involvement for these physician-led projects adds value to the project in the eyes of other physicians” (P2)	Opportunity (social)	Education Persuasion Incentives
		14.QI sharing (social comparison) to encourage QI	“ I think having a proof of concept showed in the presentation is excellent because it just provides reassurance that , yeah, they did this, okay we can do this here” (P11)		
	Goals	15.Project goals and benefits were clear and that lab ordering is a patient safety and financial issue	“It is just simple, anyone can understand the goals and for that reason, I think that helped this QI project get traction” (P11) “Seeing how much we spend in urea blood testing is alarming” (P6)	Motivation (Reflective)	Modelling-
	Beliefs about consequences	16.Anticipated positive intervention implementation effect (reduced urea ordering)	“This is one of those ones that is pretty clean; everyone sees it as a pretty good idea” (P10)	Motivation (Reflective)	Persuasion
	Intentions	17.Meet physicians where they are at with a change idea/concept, share the why to motivate grassroots physicians to improve order behaviour	“I think the most importantly, of rolling it out to my team, who are very change resistant, was having a really strong evidence of the Why.” (P1)	Motivation (Reflective)	Enablement
	Social professional role and identity	18.LTOO Project aligned to Health organization priorities with support from medical and organizational leaders	“Project was recognized as a strategic priority provided more credence to the work, further knowing it was Choosing Wisely funding also showed that there was professional and organizational priority” (P5)	Motivation (Reflective)	Environmental restructuring
6. Order BUN tests mindfully not reflexively or habitually**	Beliefs about capabilities	19.Lab test ordering is a learnt and ingrained practice	“It’s an ingrained [learnt], auto practice; the more physicians are in practice and develop a routine and almost a habit” (P1).	Motivation (reflective)	Restrictions Education Modelling
	Behavioral regulation	20.Transition from reflexive/habitual ordering to mindful (evidence-based, patient required) ordering	“Reflexive and not really thinking about it” (P8) “I now think about it instead of automatically just running down the list” (P1)	Capability (psychological)	Education Incentivisation Persuasion Training
		21.Sustain physician order behaviour change through data reflection and integration in medical learner curriculum “Remove the root cause” by removing choice (urea blood test) from paper and IT order forms 22.ConnectCare (IT system) standardizes the lab order sets	“Support ongoing reflection and data collection”(P1) “Education will need to become integrated into learner curriculum”(P2) “Biggest difference when we get urea off the order set” (P10) “The most effective thing is really just changing the form and the buy in from the grassroots physicians”(P3)		
		“The order set changes can support a smoother implementation for both	n/a-confounder	n/a-confounder	

		and the urea blood test has been removed	Connect Care and the project" (P2)		
	Social influences	23.Urea lab test ordering physician conformity	"Physicians are educating their learners about the urea test change and why" (P2) "Physicians still come up to me, oh, I was just thinking about urea testing" (P7)	Opportunity (social)	Modelling Training Incentivisation coercion
7.Changing physician behaviour is difficult**	Optimism	24.Pessimistic-"Tough to change physician behaviour"	"Have to drag along the rest of the group" (P10) "I think the barrier would be in general physician behaviour" (P8)	Motivation (Reflective)	Enablement
	Beliefs about consequences	25.Perceived threat to physician choice and freedom-" being told what to do"	"Creates that ivory tower sort of feeling, when you're just being told what to do and you're like that's never going to apply here" (P7) "Don't want things imposed on them" (P12)	Motivation (Reflective)	Coercion
	Environmental context and resources	26.Hospital culture differs	"Implementation of things that worked in another site may not necessarily going to work at a different site" and "One needs to remember that not all places are the same" (P7)	Opportunity (physical)	Modelling
8. Opportunity to lead, with support, a straightforward QI intervention that requires minimal effort *	Environmental context and resources	27.Minimal Physician project effort, straightforward intervention with minimal workflow impact 28.Provide continual access to experienced support personnel to assist physician-led QI	"Actual change component to the work flow was very minimal, didn't create a bunch of extra time for physicians" (P1) "It's the person to assist to get it done, the sharing of abilities and expertise, it's awesome" (P10) "The shared QI consultant has been excellent with moving forward" (P11)	Capability (psychological)	Enablement Training
	Beliefs about capabilities	29.Simple Intervention increased confidence to lead QI implementation	"It wasn't taxing for me supported my participation" "Time commitment for me was little. If it had been something that required a lot more of me at that time, I wouldn't have been as engaged"	Motivation Reflection	Persuasion Enablement Education
	Emotion	30.QI project experience was positive, targeted a grassroots annoying problem, with minimal physician QI effort, motivating physician participation	"This has been a positive experience" (P12) "The thoughts and feeling with the project were more that it was grassroots on the ward"(P6)	Motivation (automatic)	Persuasion (modelling, training, enablement)
9.Physician-led QI competed out due to clinical demands*	Environmental context and resources	31.Physician staffing challenges	"Its been historically harder to get physicians, chronically understaffed and regularly the emergency department are closing for weekends because they don't have physician coverage" (P7)	Opportunity (physical)	Modelling Training-service provision
		32.Covid-19/Pandemic reduced physician time to champion any additional tasks such as QI	"Expanding the project has been challenging as everyone is extremely tasked with managing patients and they're being redeployed to do something else during the pandemic" (P3)	n/a-confounder	n/a-confounder
		33.Connect Care-IT system rollout/ implementation is a competing priority that reduces physician time to participate in	"Covid always been in the back of everyone's sort of collective minds, it just meant less time for everything else and lots of physicians were like, I just can't keep up with this anymore"(P7) "Now physicians are like, how do I get through my 12 hour shift with a completely new EMR" (P7)	n/a-confounder	n/a-confounder

	QI activities	“Now we can’t even get locums for the province, because they don’t know how to use Connect Care” (P7)		
Social professional role and identity	34.Competing demands- “Juggling clinical demands”	“Physicians don’t have the time to seek the knowledge of QI but also to carry out a project when you’re on busy clinical service”(P3) “When I’m on shift, I am only there to look after patients and can’t attend meetings. I can’t do any other project work while I’m there because of the nature of the work we do”(P1)	Motivation (reflection)	Persuasion Incentivisation Coercion
Beliefs about consequences	35.Physician-led QI was competed out	“ If there isn’t time, funding or resources QI is being left out” (P5) “There is not an appetite for those things right now”(P7)	Motivation (reflection)	Persuasion Incentivisation
Emotion	36.Physicians emotional well-being is impacting their ability to engage in QI	“Trying to provide knowledge or practice change to a group of people who are sort of overworked, trying to sort of balance and survive in their existence” (P7)	Motivation (automatic)	Persuasion
Reinforcement	37. No incentives for physician QI involvement (funding/grants and remuneration)	“You’re never going to get anyone to do anything if they are not remunerated” (P7) “They do not remunerate physicians” (P11) “We don’t get paid any extra income for doing this”(P12)	Motivation (automatic)	Incentivisation Environmental restructuring

Note. LTOO=Laboratory Test Ordering Overuse, COM-B=Capability, Opportunity and Motivation Behavior, *-Themes aligned to QI leadership and participation behaviour, and **- Themes aligned to BUN ordering behaviour