Implementing a three-hourly feeding schedule in stable preterm infants to decrease maternal fatigue
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ABSTRACT

Background A three-hourly feeding schedule has been shown to be as safe as a two-hourly schedule in preterm neonates. It saves nursing time and may be less tiring for the mothers. However, tradition and apprehensions have prevented its wider acceptance. We used a quality improvement approach to implement a three-hourly feeding schedule in stable preterm infants >32 weeks postmenstrual age (PMA) in our unit through a series of plan–do–study–act (PDSA) cycles.

Methods All preterm neonates >32 weeks PMA, who were on full enteral feeds and without any respiratory support were eligible. The key quantitative outcome was maternal fatigue score. Safety was assessed in terms of episodes of hypoglycaemia and feed intolerance. Qualitative experiences from nursing staff were captured. The volume of expressed breastmilk and requirement of formula feeds were also recorded. After recording baseline data on a two-hourly feeding schedule, four PDSA cycles were sequentially completed over 21 weeks. The results of each PDSA cycle informed the change strategy for the next cycle.

Results In the baseline phase, five neonates on a two-hourly schedule were studied. In PDSA cycles I, II, III and IV, a cumulative of 122 neonates were studied on a three-hourly schedule. There was a significant decrease in median maternal fatigue score (13 (IQR 8–23) to 3 (IQR 1–6); p=0.01). Only one neonate had feed intolerance, while two had mild asymptomatic transient hypoglycaemia. Six (5%) neonates were shifted to two-hourly feeds temporarily due to transient reasons. Nursing staff felt mothers could devote more time to Kangaroo mother care. The volume of expressed breastmilk and requirement of formula feeds were not different from the three-hourly schedule.

Conclusions It was possible to change the traditional two-hourly feeding schedule to three-hourly in stable preterm infants using a quality improvement approach, while objectively documenting its safety and benefits.

INTRODUCTION

Full term and late preterm infants are breastfed as per their demand. Feeding of preterm neonates should also ideally be cue-based, but identification of feeding cues in preterm neonates needs careful observation and expertise, and is not practised routinely. Preterm infants less than 34-week gestation are usually fed expressed breastmilk (EBM) by gavage or spoon, at arbitrarily fixed intervals, usually every two-hourly. A lot of research in relation to initiation and advancement of feeds in preterm infants is available, but there are few studies addressing the issue of feeding intervals.1–7

Problem A two-hourly schedule is quite tiring for the mothers and leaves little continuous time for Kangaroo mother care (KMC). Mothers recovering from pregnancy and delivery find it hard to cope with it. They need to interrupt KMC for expression of milk and feeding.8 9 KMC involves providing early, prolonged and continuous skin to skin contact for low birth-weight babies by their mothers. It is currently a standard of care for preterm neonates and has been shown to decrease mortality by giving warmth, improving breastmilk feeding and optimising nutrition, providing neurosensory stimulation and preventing nosocomial infections. A two-hourly schedule also increases the total nursing time spent on feeding, which can be challenging, especially in units with lower nurse to patient ratios. This affects the ability of nurses to complete other essential clinical care activities.

Context Postgraduate Institute of Medical Education and Research, Chandigarh is a tertiary care government hospital in north India. About 30 stable preterm neonates are under care each day, spread across three locations—transitional nursery (TN), low birthweight (LBW) room and neonatal nursery (NNN) (Table 1). Mothers express their milk 2–3 hourly as per prescribed feeding schedule and mother’s own milk is fed to the babies. There is no facility for human milk bank or prolonged
storage of breast milk. Expression of breastmilk and KMC is encouraged for all preterm infants. Mothers have 24 hours access and are involved in baby-care activities. If the EBM is insufficient, formula milk is used to meet the deficit. The infants are fed by gavage till a postmenstrual age (PMA) of 30–32 weeks, after which they are offered spoon or Paladai (small cup-like utensil with narrow tip) feeds as per individual assessment. Bottle feeding is not used in our unit.

AVAILABLE KNOWLEDGE AND RATIONALE
A three-hourly feeding schedule can overcome the problems of both mothers and nurses, and potentially offer an advantage of faster feed advancement. Physiological studies indicate that increasing the feeding interval to 3 hours may lead to better gastric emptying and less risk of feed intolerance. However, three-hourly feeding regimes are not commonly practised in preterm infants, due to concerns about hypoglycaemia and feed intolerance. In randomised controlled trials (RCTs) of two-hourly versus three-hourly feeding schedules, the risk of hypoglycaemia, feed intolerance and necrotising enterocolitis has been similar. While Dhingra et al showed decreased use of nursing time, Ibrahim et al found earlier birthweight attainment with three-hourly schedules. Both trials enrolled neonates within 12–48 hours of life and found the incidence of feed intolerance and hypoglycaemia to be similar between the two schedules. Apart from individual concerns, there may be many barriers to the implementation of evidence into clinical practice. Prevailing beliefs and lack of clear written protocols also prevent changing already established practices. With evidence of safety and other patient benefits of a three-hourly feeding schedule from controlled trials, we planned to introduce the three-hourly schedule in our unit in a gradual manner using plan–do–study–act (PDSA) cycles.

AIM
We aimed to decrease maternal fatigue by implementing a three-hourly feeding schedule in stable preterm infants >24 hours of life with PMA >32 weeks and weighing >1000 g at birth, over a period of 4 months.

METHODS
Design
We formed a multidisciplinary Quality improvement (QI) team comprising of an academic consultant, senior resident physician, junior resident physician and nursing officers from abovementioned three neonatal care areas of the hospital. One nursing officer from each area was given the responsibility of supervising the implementation in their area, data collection and attending team meetings. Three nursing officers together with a senior resident and a consultant led the QI initiative for the entire duration. We decided to address the barriers for implementation through a series of PDSA cycles.

Ethical considerations
The mothers were explained about the study in their own language and verbal consent was obtained. We implemented evidence-based strategies at the system level and objectively monitored for any safety issues. The treating physicians and nurses had complete independence for any management decisions regarding individual patients.

Patient involvement
Patients were not directly involved in the design or implementation.

Measurements
We aimed to decrease the maternal fatigue scores by 20% by implementing a three-hourly feeding schedule. Maternal fatigue scores were objectively assessed using a modified fatigue symptom checklist, a published and validated questionnaire. Stable preterm infant was defined as a neonate between 321/7 and 366/7 weeks PMA and, not on intravenous fluids or respiratory support. We excluded infants with gastro-oesophageal reflux (GER) and major congenital malformations. The compliance to the new schedule was assessed by recording the actual number of neonates getting three-hourly feeds out of all those eligible. We assessed safety by tracking the number of neonates developing hypoglycaemia and the number
of episodes of feed intolerance. Blood glucose was monitored as per routine protocol for all preterm neonates in the first 72 hours of life and as per clinical indications in the later period. Descriptive statistics were used to describe the baseline variables. Numerical variables were tested for normality by Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. Normally distributed variables were compared by unpaired t-test after evaluating equality of variance by Levene’s test (F test). Variables with skewed distribution were analysed with non-parametric Mann-Whitney U test. P value of less than 0.05 was taken as significant. The analysis was done using statistical software packages IBM-SPSS V.23 (SPSS). Run charts were used to display the serial measurements of compliance to the three-hourly schedule. We followed the SQUIRE 2.0 guidelines for reporting.15

**Strategy**

During the baseline period of 11 days, the team tracked all neonates with PMA >32 weeks and weighing >1000 g, who were staying in the TN for more than 24 hours. In this period, five such neonates, on usual two-hourly feeds, were monitored during their stay in TN initially and later in LBW room until discharge. Nursing officers recorded maternal fatigue scores after 5–7 days of the infant being on the feeding schedule. After the baseline period, we implemented the three-hourly feeding schedule in these areas sequentially, in four PDSA cycles. During each cycle, maternal fatigue scores were recorded after 5–7 days of initiating the three-hourly feeds. The results of each PDSA informed the change strategy for the next cycle.

**PDSDA cycles**

**PDSDA cycle # I**

In PDSA cycle I (2–10 July 2016), we shared the existing evidence on three-hourly feeding schedule with the resident doctors and nursing officers of all three areas in a discussion forum. As the resident doctors and nursing officers were apprehensive, we decided to first test the feasibility and safety of the new schedule in relatively larger neonates and in more stable areas like TN and LBW room. We wrote a draft protocol for three-hourly feeding in neonates >32 weeks PMA and >1250 g birth weight who were on full enteral feeds and no respiratory support. The draft protocol was approved by the area consultant and the information was disseminated through posters and whatsapp messages.

**PDSDA cycle # II**

After gaining some confidence from the first PDSA cycle, we extended the new schedule to NNN—a relatively less stable area, and to infants with birth weight >1000 g (13–28 July 2016). The nursing officers from TN and LBW room, where the schedule was tested in PDSA 1, shared their experiences with the nursing officers of NNN. The data from the first test cycle encouraged the nursing officers and resident doctors of NNN to try out the three-hourly feeding schedule.

During PDSA cycle II, we found that in NNN, which had a mixed population of stable as well as relatively sicker infants, some neonates were on two-hourly schedules due to oxygen support or GER, while some were on three-hourly schedules. For example, during a 6-hour period, feeding was scheduled at 2nd, 4th and 6th hour for infants on respiratory assistance or GER, whereas it was scheduled at 3rd and 6th hour for the stable ones. This led to difficulties in organising and clustering of nursing activities, and confusions in scheduling. During the feeding process, nurses have to help mothers with expression of milk, or prepare fresh formula milk, and sterilise the katories (Katori is a small steel bowl of around 50 mL, in which the mother expresses her breast milk).

### Table 2 Demographic features of enrolled neonates

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phase</th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>PDSA I</th>
<th>PDSA II</th>
<th>PDSA III</th>
<th>PDSA IV</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Duration (dates)</td>
<td>17.6.16 to 28.6.16</td>
<td>2.7.16 to 10.7.16</td>
<td>13.7.16 to 28.7.16</td>
<td>4.8.16 to 17.8.16</td>
<td>12.10.16 to 28.10.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of neonates</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Care area</td>
<td>TN</td>
<td>LBW</td>
<td>LBW</td>
<td>NNN</td>
<td>TN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vaginal delivery (%)</td>
<td>2 (50)</td>
<td>6 (46)</td>
<td>13 (39)</td>
<td>23 (49)</td>
<td>6 (20)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean birth weight in g (range)</td>
<td>1587 (1437–1677)</td>
<td>1593 (1400–1850)</td>
<td>1524 (1020–2200)</td>
<td>1522 (848–2383)</td>
<td>1358 (800–2148)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean weight at enrolment in g (range)</td>
<td>1587 (1437–1677)</td>
<td>1593 (1400–1850)</td>
<td>1569 (1151–2200)</td>
<td>1543 (1140–2380)</td>
<td>1598 (1080–2588)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age at enrolment (median and range) (days)</td>
<td>3 (2–4)</td>
<td>4 (2–30)</td>
<td>LBW room—4 (3–12)</td>
<td>NNN—11 (4–50)</td>
<td>LBW room—3 (2–12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. (%) of neonates on gavage feeds</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3 (9)</td>
<td>9 (19)</td>
<td>8 (27)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The details and results of the PDSA cycles are shown in table 3.

LBW, low birthweight; NICU, Neonatal Intensive Care Unit; NNN, neonatal nursery; PDSA, plan–do–study–act; PMA, postmenstrual age; TN, transitional nursery.
We modified the system of managing *katoris* in PDSA III (4–17 August 2016). We increased the number of *Katoris* in circulation from 20 to 50. This allowed us to reschedule the sterilisation activity to every 6 hours instead of every 2 hours. We also drafted a simple questionnaire to record the qualitative experiences of nurses. The questions pertained to milk expression, feed tolerance, their feelings about the change and any problems they were encountering with the change in the feeding regimen. As some nurses expressed concerns whether the mothers will be able to express the increased volumes required for three-hourly feeding, we decided to assess the volume of expressed breastmilk in a small subset of mothers.

During PDSA III, we observed that there was a confusion regarding the eligibility criteria for three-hourly feeding in NNN, the relatively sicker area. Neonates who were transferred in from Neonatal Intensive Care Unit (NICU) to NNN, after a difficult course like prolonged ventilation or multisystem problems or lower gestation at birth, were left out of three-hourly schedule due to apprehensions, whereas those with lesser degree of sickness in the initial period were prescribed three-hourly feeds.

**PDSA cycle # IV**

We addressed the issue of selection of eligible babies in PDSA IV (12–24 October 2016). We updated the standard operating protocol to administer three-hourly feeding to all neonates >32 weeks PMA and birth weight >1000 g, with no GER, and no respiratory support irrespective of their previous morbidities. The benefits of three-hourly feeding with respect to less maternal fatigue and safety data from previous PDSA cycles were presented in a group discussion.

The four PDSA cycles were sequentially completed over 21 weeks. The updated data of eligible babies receiving three-hourly feeds and maternal fatigue scores were displayed in all three areas every week.

**RESULTS**

A cumulative of 122 neonates were studied on three-hourly schedule. Of these, 20 (16%) were on gavage feeds while rest all were on *Katori-spoon* or *Paladai* feeds. The demographic features of enrolled neonates in different PDSA cycles are depicted in table 2.

Figure 1 shows the improvement in compliance to the three-hourly feeding schedule over time. Maternal fatigue scores assessed after 5–7 days of implementation of three-hourly schedule showed a significant reduction as compared with the two-hourly schedule (figure 2). Overall, 6/122 (5%) neonates were switched to two-hourly feeds due to reasons shown in table 3. Of these, two neonates had mild asymptomatic transient
### Table 3 Details of the PDSA cycles

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PDSA</th>
<th>I (n=13)</th>
<th>II (n=33)</th>
<th>III (n=47)</th>
<th>IV (n=29)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>When</strong></td>
<td>2.7.16 to 10.7.16</td>
<td>13.7.16 to 28.7.16</td>
<td>4.8.16 to 17.8.16</td>
<td>12.10.16 to 28.10.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Plan</strong></td>
<td>Assess feasibility and safety of three-hourly feeding in two areas: TN and LBW room</td>
<td>Extend the test to neonates with birth weight &gt;1000 g and to relatively sicker area (NNN) apart from TN and LBW room</td>
<td>New system of managing Katoris Qualitative experience of nurses Assess breastmilk volume in a subset of women</td>
<td>Simplify eligibility criteria and update standard operating protocol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Do</strong></td>
<td>Try three-hourly schedule in infants &gt;32 weeks PMA and &gt;1250 g birth weight; Track compliance, hypoglycaemic and feed intolerance; Record maternal fatigue scores</td>
<td>Three-hourly feeding in TN, LBW room and NNN, in infants &gt;32 weeks PMA and &gt;1000 g birth weight Track compliance, hypoglycaemia and feed intolerance; Record maternal fatigue scores</td>
<td>Continue three-hourly feeding in all three care areas in infants &gt;32 weeks PMA and &gt;1000 g birth weight Increase Katoris in circulation from 20 to 50, and change sterilisation schedule from two hourly to six-hourly Record qualitative experience of nurses Record volume of expressed breastmilk in seven randomly selected mothers Track compliance, hypoglycaemia and feed intolerance; Record maternal fatigue scores</td>
<td>Three-hourly feeding in all babies &gt;32 weeks PMA and &gt;1000 g birth weight in TN, LBW room and NNN, if currently not on respiratory support or having GER, irrespective of previous morbidities. Continue tracking compliance, hypoglycaemia and feed intolerance; maternal fatigue scores</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Study</strong></td>
<td>Compliance 16%. Safe in 13/13 (100%) Maternal fatigue scores marginally lower (median 12 (5–13)) compared with baseline (13 (8–23))</td>
<td>Compliance 57%. Safe in 32/33 (97%) Feed intolerance in 1 (apnoea actually attributed to stoppage of caffeine). No case of hypoglycaemia. Two neonates switched to two-hourly feeds due to perceived excessive weight loss (not confirmed on chart review). Maternal fatigue scores lower- median (IQR) 4 (1–8) Difficulties encountered in clustering of nursing activities in NNN due to some sicker babies on two-hourly feeds and others on three-hourly feeds</td>
<td>Compliance 71%. Safe in 45/47 (96%) Mild asymptomatic transient hypoglycaemia in 2 (blood glucose 35 and 36)-switched to two-hourly, No feed intolerance Maternal fatigue scores median (IQR) 6 (4–7) Qualitative feedback of nurses favoured three-hourly feeds; New problem-confusion in eligibility criteria in NNN area due to previous morbidities of neonates transferred in from NICU.</td>
<td>Compliance 84%. Safe in 29/29 (100%) No case of hypoglycaemia, Two neonates changed to two-hourly feeds due to suspected GER. Maternal fatigue scores median (IQR) 3 (1–6) No problems with the new Katori sterilisation schedule.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Act</strong></td>
<td>Extend the new feeding schedule to infants &gt;32 weeks PMA and &gt;1000 g birth weight and in NNN</td>
<td>Reorganise the nursing activities related to sterilisation of Katoris Obtain qualitative feedback from nurses</td>
<td>Address the confusion in eligibility criteria and continue with new sterilisation schedule</td>
<td>Final written policy and SOP for three-hourly feeding in TN, LBW room and NNN. Periodic monitoring plan for compliance and any unexpected problems</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

GER, gastro-oesophageal reflux; LBW, low birthweight; NICU, Neonatal Intensive Care Unit; NNN, neonatal nursery; PDSA, plan–do–study–act; PMA, postmenstrual age; TN, transitional nursery.
hypoglycaemia. There was one case of feed intolerance and two cases of GER (3/122 (2.4%)). Qualitative experiences of eight randomly selected nursing officers were objectively recorded. All of them favoured three-hourly feeds. After changing from two-hourly to three-hourly schedules, they felt that for the initial 2–3 feeds, it was more challenging for mothers to express the increased volumes required and the neonates took little longer to feed. However, these were very transient and both mothers and neonates adjusted quickly. Nursing time was saved, and they could devote time for better documentation and other nursing-related works. The volume of expressed breastmilk was also measured in seven randomly selected mothers and they could express the required volumes. There was no increase in the requirement of formula feeds.

To ensure sustenance, the key results of the study were displayed as posters in the clinical areas and a written policy was made available. In each clinical area, a QI champion nurse along with a senior resident took the responsibility of supervision and orientation of new staff. Luckily, the rotations/changes in nursing staff are not very frequent in our unit. Formal audits were stopped as the new schedule became part of unit practice. However, informal reviews of feeding charts revealed sustained incorporation of three-hourly feeding in the routine.

LESSONS AND LIMITATIONS
In scenarios of predominant breastfeeding and intimate maternal involvement in baby-care activities likeours, mothers spend 20–30 min expressing their milk and another 20–30 min for the complete process of feeding the child. With a two-hourly feeding schedule, they are left with only about 60–80 min, before the next feed is due. In this duration, they are expected to provide KMC and other baby-care activities, leaving little time for rest. A three-hourly feeding schedule has been shown to consume less nursing time and more time is available to mothers for KMC and for their own rest.

We focused on maternal fatigue as the outcome, after discussion with the nurses, as it is a patient-centred outcome and nurses visualised several benefits for the babies if mothers could get more time. Our unit is scattered across several physically separate care areas. Each area has its own case-mix and unique set of challenges. Hence, we started in a small manner from relatively quiet areas and in relatively larger weight neonates. The sharing of safety data and experiences by the nurses with their colleagues in other care areas built their confidence. This allowed us to expand the test to all care areas and in eligible infants.

Although maternal fatigue score was the main pre-decided outcome measure, based on the nursing feedback, we incorporated qualitative questionnaire for nursing experience and maternal breastmilk output measurement in a small subset. This helped to further enhance their confidence and uptake of the three-hourly schedule. We did encounter unanticipated problem in the form of management of feeding utensils and their sterilisation schedule. The solution to this problem was provided by the nurses themselves and was easily implementable with minimal extra costs. The stock of the utensils had to be replenished periodically, however, this was similar to the previous arrangements for the same.

In this initiative, we could not formally involve the mothers in the design and conduct of the project. This is a limitation which can be addressed in future endeavours. There are several other perceived and previously documented benefits of a three-hourly schedule, for example, increased duration of KMC, earlier attainment of birth weight, decreased length of stay and better compliance with other nursing care activities. Many of these benefits have already been observed in RCTs. With our focus being on implementation, we restricted ourselves to measurement of limited number of variables. Another limitation was that although informal reviews of feeding charts revealed sustained incorporation of three-hourly feeding in the routine, formal audits were stopped.

Overall, we learnt that though the switch from a two-hourly to three-hourly feeding schedule sounded easy, it required patience and perseverance, and finding solutions to unexpected problems. Regular team meetings, sharing of data and group discussions during the study helped to address individual concerns, plan the next phase and tackle the challenges. Translating evidence into clinical practice needs tackling various barriers through PDSA cycles and thus making the process of implementation smooth.11–13

Conclusion
We were able to change the traditional two-hourly feeding practice to three-hourly feeding in stable preterm infants by focusing on a patient-centred outcome and applying small changes through serial PDSA cycles, while adapting to the unanticipated challenges. This experience will be useful to many similar scenarios especially across the developing world, where the nursing resources are limited but mothers are available for baby-care activities.
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