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ABSTRACT
Stroke is a complex disorder that challenges healthcare systems. An audit of in-hospital stroke care in the province of Nova Scotia, Canada, in 2004–2005 indicated that many aspects of care delivery fell short of national best practice recommendations. Stroke care in Nova Scotia was reorganised using a combination of interventions to facilitate systems change and quality improvement. The focus was mainly on implementing evidence-based stroke unit care, augmenting thrombolytic therapy and enhancing dysphagia assessment. Key were the development of a provincial network to facilitate ongoing collaboration and structured information exchange, the creation of the stroke coordinator and stroke physician champion roles, and the implementation of a registry to capture information about adults hospitalised because of stroke or transient ischaemic attack. To evaluate the interventions, a longitudinal analysis compared the audit results with registry data for 2012, 2015 and 2019. The proportion of patients receiving multidisciplinary stroke unit care rose from 22.4% in 2005 to 74.0% in 2019. The proportion of patients who received alteplase increased steadily from 3.2% to 18.5%, and the median delay between hospital arrival and alteplase administration decreased from 102 min to 56 min, without an increase in intracranial haemorrhage. Dysphagia screening increased from 41.4% to 77.4%. More patients were transferred from acute care to a dedicated in-patient rehabilitation unit, and fewer were discharged to residential or long-term care. These enhancements did not prolong length-of-stay in acute care. The network was a critical success factor; competing priorities in the healthcare system were the main challenge to implementing change. A multidimensional, multiyear, improvement intervention yielded substantial and sustained improvements in the process and structure of stroke care in Nova Scotia.

PROBLEM
The impetus to improve stroke care in Nova Scotia stemmed from advances in stroke treatment. Recognising the need for systems change, re-organisation strategies were promoted by the Heart and Stroke Foundation (www.heartandstroke.ca) and supported by the Networks of Centres of Excellence programme of the Federal Government of Canada (www.nce-rce.gc.ca) through funding of the Canadian Stroke Network.

In 2006, in response to a report submitted by the Heart and Stroke Foundation of Nova Scotia (coauthored by SJP), the government of Nova Scotia announced dedicated funding to enhance stroke care services. To enable this, the government’s department of health expanded its provincial programme, Cardiovascular Health Nova Scotia (CVHNS), to include stroke in its mandate of leading province-wide healthcare improvement,
monitoring and surveillance of cardiovascular disease and stroke care.

This report summarises the impact of efforts led by CVHNS to improve stroke care in Nova Scotia over a 14-year period by promoting systems change and implementing components of the Canadian Stroke Best Practice Recommendations (CSBPR). At the outset, targets for improvement were not clearly defined. Subsequently, benchmarks were established and for some performance measures, the targets became more stringent. Here, we present a longitudinal analysis evaluating quantitative changes in the care for adults hospitalised because of stroke or transient ischaemic attack (TIA), complemented by qualitative information from structured stakeholder feedback.

Background
Stroke treatment came of age in the 1990s when clinical trials demonstrated the efficacy of stroke unit care and thrombolytic therapy. Confronting persistent nihilistic attitudes towards stroke, it was realised that a systems approach was needed to transform healthcare systems that were unaccustomed to managing stroke in a coordinated manner. Since then, the evidence for stroke unit care has strengthened, the components of effective stroke unit care have become better defined, methods for increasing thrombolytic therapy have been explored and several countries—including Canada—have produced guidelines for stroke prevention, treatment, rehabilitation and community reintegration. However, large-scale implementation of these guidelines has proved challenging and remains a work-in-progress in many countries.

Measurement
Stroke care before re-organisation was evaluated by an audit in which nurses were trained to extract information from the medical records of patients who were hospitalised because of community-onset stroke or TIA during fiscal 2004–2005 using the Registry of the Canadian Stroke Network. Patients were identified from the Canadian Institute for Health Information (CIHI) Discharge Abstract Database (DAD) on the basis of a most responsible diagnosis defined by the following International Classification of Diseases-10 codes: I61, I63, I64 and G45 (excluding G45.4).

The impact of the changes led by CVHNS was monitored by continuous data collection that was initiated province wide in July 2011. The data were collected by stroke coordinators in each District Stroke Programme (DSP) using the CVHNS Stroke Registry, which comprised key performance measures derived—by a consensus process involving stakeholders and a working group of CVHNS staff—from the performance measures prescribed in the CSBPR. To ensure complete case ascertainment, the stroke coordinators identified patients prospectively, and additional cases were detected through quarterly review of the DAD.

The CVHNS Stroke Registry data were used to generate annual reports for decision-makers in the NSHA; custom reports were also available by request from any stakeholder, and stroke coordinators could run a local report at any point in time.

The audit results and data from the CVHNS Stroke Registry for calendar 2012 (the first full year of data collection), 2015 (10 years after the audit) and 2019 (the most recent available data) are shown in table 1 and figure 1. All data were collected without consent because the information was required for quality improvement purposes.

Hospital admission rates were calculated using population denominators obtained from Statistics Canada. Post-thrombolysis intracranial haemorrhage was defined as neurological deterioration and CT scan evidence of any intracranial haemorrhage at any time during hospitalisation, or death within 24 hours of alteplase (ie, typically before any routine follow-up CT scan). Functional status at discharge was measured using the modified Rankin Scale, with independence defined as a score of 0–2.

Qualitative data on key success factors for stroke care reorganisation and improvement were collected from various stakeholders between 2010 and 2013 by the stroke coordinators using structured questionnaires that included several open-ended questions. As well, informal feedback flowed as part of the routine communication between CVHNS and the stroke coordinators.

Design
The overarching aim of the intervention was to promote evidence-informed practice based on the CSBPR. This required provincial systems change as well as quality improvement efforts. Implementation focused mainly on facilitating coordinated, evidence-based, multidisciplinary stroke unit care, timely treatment of acute ischaemic stroke with intravenous alteplase and improved dysphagia assessment (figure 2). Several other aspects of stroke care (including palliative care, rehabilitation and fast-track outpatient management of TIA) also received attention (see figure 3) but are not included in this report.

CVHNS (programme manager (NG), and one staff member) worked at ‘arm’s length’ with the DHAs to implement changes. A network of seven DSPs was created within the NSHA, each with a stroke coordinator and stroke physician champion. The provincial network of DSPs was overseen by CVHNS, but day-to-day operations were the responsibility of the DHAs. The stroke coordinators communicated freely with CVHNS; as well, there were scheduled formal bimonthly group teleconferences, and in-person meetings once or twice times per year. Medical (neurological) input was provided, as needed and through regular meetings, by SJP in his role as clinical advisor to CVHNS. The QEI’s stroke team was also a source of support and guidance.
The stroke coordinator was responsible for leading the planning, development, implementation, evaluation and ongoing maintenance of a coordinated, integrated, local DSP. The stroke physician champion role involved participation in and support for systems change, and the provision of education in best practice care. Outside the QEII, the physicians fulfilling this role were family physicians or internal medicine specialists. In most DHAs, a stipend was provided to support the stroke physician champion role to compensate the physician for organisational and administrative work not recompensed through the routine clinical fee-for-service remuneration system. At the QEII, neurologists and specialists in physical medicine and rehabilitation are mainly salaried through an academic funding plan.

**Strategy**
The work of enhancing stroke care province wide began in 2007 after the completion of a demonstration project in one of the more rural and remote DHAs. CVHNS defined the minimal case volume required to maintain high quality care as 115 patients/year (based on an average hospital length of stay of 19 days on a six-bed unit, which was the suggested minimum size required to maintain high quality care9). This definition indicated a need to consolidate stroke care in seven stroke units, ranging in size from 4 to 30 beds, recognising that

---

**Table 1** Summary of demographic data, process, outcome and balancing measures from 2005 (preintervention) to 2019

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Demographic data</th>
<th>2005</th>
<th>2012</th>
<th>2015</th>
<th>2019</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Population of Nova Scotia</td>
<td>937,899</td>
<td>944,943</td>
<td>941,545</td>
<td>959,478</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All stroke and transient ischaemic attack admissions, N</td>
<td>1,238</td>
<td>1,286</td>
<td>1,401</td>
<td>1,527</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crude hospitalisation rate /100,000/year</td>
<td>132.0</td>
<td>136.1</td>
<td>148.8</td>
<td>159.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female sex, %</td>
<td>50.6</td>
<td>49.9</td>
<td>48.6</td>
<td>49.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median age, year (IQR)</td>
<td>77 (66–84)</td>
<td>75 (65–84)</td>
<td>74 (65–83)</td>
<td>74 (65–83)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age distribution, %</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;50</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>6.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50–64</td>
<td>18.1</td>
<td>17.9</td>
<td>18.7</td>
<td>18.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65–79</td>
<td>36.7</td>
<td>34.8</td>
<td>40.6</td>
<td>40.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥80</td>
<td>40.3</td>
<td>40.5</td>
<td>34.9</td>
<td>35.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stroke type, %</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ischaemic stroke</td>
<td>58.2</td>
<td>72.1</td>
<td>72.7</td>
<td>74.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transient ischaemic attack</td>
<td>21.0</td>
<td>16.1</td>
<td>13.5</td>
<td>12.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intracerebral haemorrhage</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>10.3</td>
<td>13.1</td>
<td>11.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>13.8</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Process measures                                      |            |            |            |            |
| Brain imaging, %                                      | 85.7       | 97.1       | 98.4       | 99.1       |
| Stroke team involvement, %                            |            |            |            |            |
| Dietitian                                             | 40.2       | 67.0       | 65.8       | 55.2       |
| Occupational therapist                               | 43.5       | 71.7       | 72.0       | 76.4       |
| Physiotherapist                                       | 57.0       | 75.9       | 74.2       | 78.5       |
| Social worker                                         | 29.6       | 54.1       | 50.8       | 39.7       |
| Speech–language pathologist                           | 21.0       | 56.9       | 59.3       | 23.7       |

| Outcome measures                                      |            |            |            |            |
| Unadjusted 30-day in-hospital mortality, %            | 13.5       | 13.9       | 13.9       | 15.1       |
| Discharge destination of survivors, n (%)             |            |            |            |            |
| Home                                                  | 640 (63.0) | 683 (64.1) | 695 (59.7) | 788 (62.0) |
| In-patient rehabilitation                             | 153 (15.0) | 201 (18.9) | 257 (22.1) | 235 (18.5) |
| Residential/long-term care                            | 142 (14.0) | 107 (10.1) | 120 (10.3) | 80 (6.3)   |
| Other acute care                                      | 81 (8.0)   | 74 (7.0)   | 92 (7.9)   | 149 (11.7) |
| Independent at discharge, %                           | 47.2       | 46.1       | 48.9       | 48.1       |

| Balancing measures                                    |            |            |            |            |
| Intracranial haemorrhage post alteplase, n/N (%)      | 2/23 (8.7) | 14/138 (10.1) | 15/170 (8.8) | 12/210 (5.7) |
| Median length of stay, days (IQR)                     | 9 (5–20)   | 10 (5–22)  | 10 (6–21)  | 10 (5–19)  |
although in one DHA it was not possible to establish a six-bed unit, geography dictated the need for a unit in that area. In all facilities, patients who had a stroke were clustered on an existing unit. At the QEII, this was an acute neurology unit; elsewhere, patients who had a stroke were clustered on general medical units.

In a consultation process that involved CVHNS and the DHAs, the results of the 2004–2005 audit were used to conduct a gap analysis that described the disparities between best practice recommendations and actual care delivery in each DHA. The DHAs were then required to submit proposals for improvements that would establish a DSP and close the gaps in the delivery of their local stroke services.

Gaps varied between DHAs and local teams decided their priority initiatives to meet best practices; consequently, the starting points for improvement were different in each DHA, and there were multiple improvement initiatives with different aims running concurrently province wide. Early adopters were encouraged to share their experiences with other DHAs at CVHNS-led provincial forums.

To facilitate change, CVHNS provided direction and support through the network of DSPs, as well as fiscal supervision (to ensure that funds were spent as planned), and various educational opportunities. CVHNS used a combination of strategies17 recognising that staggered implementation required flexibility and
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**Figure 1** Charts showing the trajectory of improvement from preintervention levels in 2005 for: (A) Proportion of patients receiving stroke unit care; (B) Proportion of ischaemic patients who had a stroke receiving treatment with intravenous alteplase; (C) Median door-to-needle times for patients treated with intravenous alteplase; (D) The proportion of patients receiving alteplase within 1 hour of hospital arrival; and (E) Proportion of patients receiving a dysphagia screen in the emergency department.
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**Figure 2** Driver diagram illustrating approach to improving stroke care in Nova Scotia.
non-linear approaches. Key implementation processes are summarised in box 1, subdivided by CVHNS activities, and actions by the DHAs and DSPs. The progression of improvement efforts over time is displayed in figure 3.

**Stroke unit care**

The first priority of reorganisation was to facilitate coordinated multidisciplinary stroke unit care because this had the greatest potential to benefit the largest number of patients. A stroke unit was defined as a geographically defined ward area, where coordinated care is provided by a specialised, experienced stroke team. Stroke team capacity in each hospital prior to reorganisation was estimated as: 0.8 full-time equivalent (FTE) dietitian; 14 FTE nurses; 1.0 specialty nurse practitioner; 1.0 FTE occupational therapist, physiotherapist and speech–language pathologist; and 0.5 FTE social worker. Staffing levels (nursing excepted) on the district stroke teams were enhanced according to this formula using the new funding from the department of health. Additional disciplines (eg, pharmacy, recreation therapy), funded separately, were included in some stroke teams. The structure and function of stroke unit care varied between facilities, but all provided care according to evidence-based protocols, and had regular team meetings and access to ongoing education.

The implementation process for stroke unit care involved a 1-day in-person conference for education, planning and information sharing. Additional provincial forums focused on systems reorganisation, quality indicators, thrombolytic therapy, palliative care, rehabilitation treatment, and the management of TIA and non-disabling stroke (figure 3). Forums were structured to provide an avenue for learning, sharing and planning for local improvements.

**Thrombolytic therapy**

Policies, procedures and protocols were developed and implemented to facilitate timely treatment of acute ischaemic stroke with intravenous alteplase. In collaboration with emergency health services, paramedics were trained to use the Cincinnati Prehospital Stroke Scale to screen patients for possible stroke; as well, bypass protocols were established to allow paramedics transporting patients with suspected acute stroke within the time window for thrombolysis to proceed directly to the nearest designated acute stroke hospital, rather than taking the patient to a closer facility not equipped with a CT scanner. Day-to-day clinical support for physicians making diagnostic and therapeutic decisions about acute stroke outside the QEI was provided by members of the division of neurology as part of their routine 24/7 on-call strategy.
work. Teleconsultation was at the discretion of the physician in the remote hospital, and typically involved a telephone conversation and review of the CT images on the provincial computerised picture archiving and communication system.

**Dysphagia screening and assessment**

CVHNS, in partnership with the Heart and Stroke Foundation of Nova Scotia and the Nova Scotia Hearing and Speech Centres (NSHSC), developed two tools for dysphagia screening and assessment: a Stroke Swallow Screen and a Nursing Dysphagia Assessment Protocol. In 2007, CVHNS and NSHSC offered a 3-day workshop for dysphagia teams (speech-language pathologists, dietitians and nurses) from all DHAs. The workshop used a ‘train-the-trainer’ model, with the goals of increasing participants’ knowledge and skills in dysphagia screening and assessment, implementing best practices, and providing opportunities for an interdisciplinary team approach. The participants returned to their local stroke programmes to conduct training sessions using the knowledge and skills gained from the workshop. E-learning modules were developed in 2011 for both the stroke swallow screening tool and the nursing dysphagia assessment protocol to support self-directed learning at the local level. CVHNS and NSHSC offered additional in-person dysphagia team workshops in 2014 and 2019.

**RESULTS**

The quantitative results are shown in table 1 and figure 1. The crude annual hospitalisation rate for stroke and TIA increased over time. The median age of the patients decreased from 77 to 74, mainly because of an increase in the hospitalisation rate among individuals under age 50, and a decrease in the rate for individuals aged 80 or more. Sex distribution remained unchanged.

The impact of a steady increase in the use of brain imaging was reflected in improved diagnostic precision, that is, a reduction in the proportion of patients who were classified as having a stroke of unknown type. The proportion of patients receiving dysphagia screening and multidisciplinary stroke unit care increased rapidly and substantially but then levelled off (the apparent drop in the proportion of patients assessed by a speech–language pathologist is an artefact of a change in referral patterns and data collection processes in 2018). Contrastingly, the metrics for thrombolytic therapy showed continued steady improvement over the 14-year interval, without an increase in the proportion of patients experiencing the complication of intracranial haemorrhage.

More patients were transferred from acute care to a specialised in-patient rehabilitation unit, and fewer were discharged to residential or long-term care. However, there was no observed change in unadjusted 30-day in-hospital mortality or the proportion of patients who were functionally independent at the time of discharge from hospital (though documentation of modified Rankin scores was missing in about 8% of patients in 2012–2019). The changes in care processes did not influence median length of stay in hospital.

The qualitative stakeholder feedback data are summarised thematically in box 2. The network was a critical success factor while competing priorities in the healthcare system were the main challenge to implementing change.

**Strengths, lessons and limitations**

The main strength of this work is that it was a long-term, real-world implementation project conducted across an entire provincial healthcare jurisdiction, not a limited research project conducted in an academic healthcare centre. In the course of our implementation efforts, we learnt that moving knowledge into action within healthcare systems is complex and deliberate effort is required in order to ‘make things happen’ rather than ‘let things happen’. Specifically, we attributed the substantial improvements in process outcomes to the impact of the stroke physician and stroke coordinator roles in the domains of knowledge brokering, knowledge transfer teams and network facilitation, which were demonstrated effective in improving stroke care in Australia and other parts of Canada. At the same time, we were
challenged by the constraints and limitations of our healthcare system (box 2, key challenges).

The initiative to coalesce the previously fragmented and disorganised management of patients who had a stroke in 33 hospitals province-wide into coordinated multidisciplinary stroke unit care provided within a network of seven stroke programmes worked well and quickly; yet, the proportion of patients admitted to a stroke unit levelled off at around 75%, well below the 95% figure reported in the 2018 UK Sentinel Stroke National Audit Programme.32 The CVHNS Stroke Registry did not capture the reasons for patients not receiving stroke unit care, though we suspect that bed capacity issues were mainly responsible.

The urgency and metrics around thrombolytic therapy lend themselves well to quality improvement work. Our multidimensional intervention did not include videoconferencing with the on-call neurologist at the QEII (‘telestroke’34) yet increased the proportion of patients receiving alteplase from 3.2% in 2005 to 18.5% in 2019 (figure 1B), which is close to the estimated maximum of 24%.35 Our real-world evidence complements the findings from a recent systematic review and meta-analysis of interventions to increase stroke thrombolysis,36 and compares favourably with the experience of the well-known Tele-Medical Project for integrative Stroke Care project in rural Bavaria where the rates of alteplase administration increased from 0.4% in the year before telestroke implementation (2002) to 15.5% in the intervention’s 10th year (2012).37 Telestroke has been criticised because of concerns about workloads among the relatively small number of stroke neurologists providing the service.38 Our experience in Nova Scotia suggests that videoconferencing with a neurologist for thrombolysis decisions is not required and that building capacity locally may be a more acceptable and sustainable way of enhancing acute stroke treatment.

Our interventions also improved the efficiency of thrombolytic therapy (figure 1C,D). While our results compare favourably with other recent population-level studies reporting 32%–61% of patients treated within 60 min,39–41 we remain uncertain whether it will be possible to uniformly and sustainably attain the current guideline target of a median door-to-needle time of 30 min42 43 at thrombolysing hospitals in Nova Scotia.

Dysphagia screening utilisation seemed to plateau (figure 1E) just below the recommended target of at least 80%.36 Contributing factors may have been staff turnover, and delays in the approval and distribution of a revised provincial screening tool. For a variety of reasons, we think that 100% compliance seems unattainable.

We were reminded that, for meaningful interpretation, comparisons of mortality and functional status require adjustment for case mix.46 47 We did not demonstrate any impact on unadjusted 30-day in-hospital mortality (table 1); however, CIHI (using a case definition different from ours) reported48 a decline in 30-day in-hospital mortality in Nova Scotia from 25.1% in 2005 to 10.0% in 2019, when adjusted for age, sex, and comorbidity quantified using the Charlson Index Score (not captured by the CVHNS Stroke Registry). As well, a Canadian study49 that used CIHI data from 2004 to 2015 showed a greater reduction in 30-day in-hospital mortality in provinces with integrated systems of stroke care (Nova Scotia included) than in provinces without such systems.

Other limitations are that our experience is generalisable only to similar single-payer healthcare systems. We did not include the view from the patients’ perspective47 or capture any information about patients who had a stroke but were not admitted to hospital. Nor did we capture stroke severity, poststroke complications, quality of life or any details about rehabilitation treatment, community reintegration and longer-term functional outcome.

**CONCLUSION**

Stroke care reorganisation generated substantial improvements in process outcomes across the entire Canadian provincial healthcare jurisdiction of Nova Scotia between 2005 and 2019. Patients who had a stroke gained increased access to, and timelier administration of, best practice interventions in stroke thrombolysis,36 and compares favourably with the learning from our experience in bringing about improvements across the broad continuum of stroke care from the prehospital phase through acute care and rehabilitation and community reintegration, and the equitable provision of endovascular thrombectomy.32 We think that the network we created has the potential to expand our capacity for clinical and health services research. As well, the learning from our experience in bringing about improvements across the broad continuum of stroke care from the prehospital phase through acute care and rehabilitation may find application in the management of other conditions. Moving forward, the main challenge will be to preserve and enhance the commitment and cohesion at all levels of the healthcare system in order to match our resources against the complexity and magnitude of stroke.

The improvement work described here was conducted within an envelope of funding from the provincial government that was used mainly to enhance stroke team staffing. Although lack of resources is often perceived as a barrier to...
system change, demonstrated that much can be accomplished through reorganisation of existing services. We did not conduct a cost analysis, but other studies have demonstrated the cost-effectiveness of thrombolytic therapy, and it has been estimated that optimising comprehensive stroke care in Canada could avoid costs of $682 million annually. 
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