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ABSTRACT

Children in hospital are frequently prescribed intravenous antibiotics for longer than needed. Programmes to optimise timely intravenous-to-oral antibiotic switch may limit excessive in-hospital antibiotic use, minimise complications of intravenous therapy and allow children to go home faster. Here, we describe a quality improvement approach to implement a guideline, with team-based education, audit and feedback, for timely, safe switch from intravenous-to-oral antibiotics in hospitalised children. Eligibility for switch was based on evidence-based guidelines and supported by education and feedback. The project was conducted over 12 months in a tertiary paediatric hospital. Primary outcomes assessed were the proportion of eligible children admitted under paediatric and surgical teams switched within 24 hours, and switch timing prior to and after guideline launch. Secondary outcomes were hospital length of stay, recommencement of intravenous therapy or readmission. The percentage of children switched within 24 hours of eligibility significantly increased from 32/50 (64%) at baseline to 203/249 (82%) post-implementation (p=0.006). The median time to switch fell from 15 hours 42 min to 4 hours 20 min (p=0.0006). In addition, there was a 14-hour median reduction in hospital length of stay (p=0.008). Readmission to hospital and recommencement of intravenous therapy did not significantly change postimplementation. This education, audit and feedback approach improved timely intravenous-to-oral switch in children and also allowed for more timely discharge from hospital. The study demonstrates proof of concept for this implementation with a methodology that can be readily adapted to other paediatric inpatient settings.

PROBLEM

Almost 50% of children admitted to Australian hospitals are receiving at least one antibiotic at any time, and unnecessarily prolonged intravenous prescriptions are frequently reported. Switching from intravenous-to-oral antibiotics may reduce complications of intravenous therapy and potentially allow children to be discharged home sooner. A key antimicrobial stewardship (AMS) activity is the development of antimicrobial treatment guidelines, including advice on intravenous and oral antibiotics, however, the benefits of these guidelines can only be fully realised with effective implementation.

A systematic review with guidelines for antibiotic duration and intravenous-to-oral switch in children was published in 2016, having been developed by a collaboration of Paediatric Infectious Diseases and AMS physicians and pharmacists: the Australian and New Zealand Paediatric Infectious Diseases-Australasian Stewardship in Paediatrics group (ANZPID-ASAP). Authors of this study contributed to that publication.

Here, we report a quality improvement (QI) approach which aimed to implement a practice guideline for improved timely and safe switch from intravenous-to-oral antibiotics in children. Implementation of the practice guideline was supported by supplementary educational materials and team-based audit and feedback. The study was conducted in a 150-bed tertiary paediatric referral hospital in Sydney, Australia, which admits infants, children and adolescents less than 18 years. The hospital includes a comprehensive range of generalist and subspecialist teams, including departments of general paediatrics and general surgery. The hospital has an AMS team formed from senior and junior doctors within the hospital paediatric infectious diseases team and a part-time AMS pharmacist. As with other AMS programmes, the team faces competing demands on time and resources.

The aims of this study were:
1. To implement a hospital practice guideline incorporating evidence-based intravenous-to-oral antibiotic switch recommendations, using an education campaign and clinical champions, in target groups of general paediatric and general surgical patients. The target was 95% of guideline-eligible
patients switched to oral antibiotics within 24 hours of eligibility within 6 months of project implementation. 2. To measure and improve intravenous-to-oral antibiotic switch timing prior to and after guideline launch for guideline-listed conditions in target groups, using a continuous audit and feedback process to evaluate and improve guideline uptake. 3. To measure secondary outcomes which might be influenced by intravenous-to-oral antibiotic switch, including hospital length of stay and need for recommencement of intravenous therapy or readmission.

BACKGROUND
Antibiotic overuse is driving a global increase in antimicrobial resistance. Overuse of intravenous antibiotics in hospitals contributes to this problem, and in children obtaining and maintaining intravenous access is generally more difficult than in adults. In addition, intravenous therapy often keeps children in hospital, with consequences for parents and carers as well as the child. Switching earlier to oral antibiotics is one approach to address these issues. Successful intravenous-to-oral antibiotic switch initiatives have been described, although predominantly in adult patients. Recommended antibiotic treatment durations for children are often shorter than in adults, for example, most paediatric acute osteomyelitis can be treated with 3–4 days intravenous, followed by oral antibiotics. For this reason, therapeutic interventions need to be specifically evaluated in children and not simply extrapolated from adult recommendations.

At the time of this study, there were no nationally or locally endorsed guidelines for antibiotic duration and switch in children for use in our institution. Treatment recommendations for antibiotic duration and timing of intravenous-to-oral switch either did not exist or were highly variable, dependent on individual, local and historical practice. The recently published ANZPID-ASAP systematic review and guidelines for multiple childhood infections provided an opportunity to implement standardised recommendations for more timely intravenous-to-oral antibiotic switch and evaluate the effect of this on clinical outcomes that are important to clinicians and patients/carers.

There have been few studies of intravenous-to-oral switch process implementation in children, and those that have been published have been in single conditions (eg, osteomyelitis). To date, there have been no studies in children of intravenous-to-oral switch initiatives that address inappropriately long intravenous durations in multiple conditions, using QI methodology.

BASELINE MEASUREMENT
A retrospective electronic medical record review was undertaken to determine baseline indicators, including intravenous duration and intravenous-to-oral switch. This was done using a preimplementation retrospective cohort of patients, admitted to hospital within 2 years preceding this study and previously sampled in routine hospital antibiotic point-prevalence surveys. Patient inclusion criteria were: age above 28 days, diagnosis of an infection suitable for intravenous-to-oral switch according to the practice guideline, and an ability to tolerate oral medications. This was determined by review of medical record entries on the patients' conditions and their prescribed antibiotics.

A total of 50 patient records from 2015 to 2016 were reviewed in the preimplementation cohort. General paediatric inpatients comprised 35/50 (70%) of the preimplementation cohort and general surgical inpatients 15/50 (30%), respectively. The most common indications for antibiotics were appendicitis in 13/50 (26%) and pneumonia in 14/50 (28%). Details of indications are shown in table 1 and appendicitis is divided into uncomplicated and complicated disease, as this affects recommended duration of intravenous therapy, according to the practice guideline. Thirty-two of fifty (64%) patients were switched to oral antibiotics within 24 hours of being eligible. The median time to oral antibiotic switch posteligibility was 15 hours 42 min (range 0–109 hours). These provided our baseline figures.

DESIGN
A multidisciplinary local team was formed to develop and assess strategies required to implement a hospital practice guideline incorporating intravenous-to-oral antibiotic switch recommendations for guideline-listed infections among general paediatric and general surgical inpatients.

Project team members included medical staff, with a medical ID physician lead, general paediatric and general surgical consultant champions and junior doctor representatives, nursing and pharmacy champions, a hospital executive sponsor, and a consumer engagement representative. Expert project methodology and QI input was provided by staff from the New South Wales Clinical Excellence Commission.

The implementation consisted of several elements to support an intravenous-to-oral antibiotic switch intervention. These were: (1) introduction of a local practice guideline, with intravenous-to-oral antibiotic switch recommendations based on the ANZPID-ASAP guideline, (2) a multidisciplinary education programme for departmental staff, (3) targeted information for parents and (4) audit and feedback. The practice guideline was made available on the hospital intranet and supported by lanyard cards with switching criteria and oral antibiotic doses (online supplemental figures A1 and A2). The multidisciplinary education campaign was supported by general paediatric, general surgical, nursing and pharmacy champions, and included in-services and promotional posters in wards to promote discussion (online supplemental figures A3 and A4). A factsheet for parents was developed which included a checklist for key discussion points around intravenous-to-oral switch (online supplemental figure A5). Clinicians remained free to decide antibiotic therapy, timing of intravenous-to-oral
switch and timing of patient discharge. There were no other significant changes to relevant local guidelines prior to or during the project implementation.

The postimplementation period occurred over 12 months. Each week, up to five patients admitted under general paediatric and general surgical teams were randomly sampled weekly from inpatient ward lists and prospectively audited; these patients formed the postimplementation cohort. Records were audited by a primary reviewer (MMa from March to September 2017 and SW from September 2017 to March 2018), checked by a junior medical doctor in the AMS team (LAY) and supported by an Infectious Diseases physician (BJM) available to provide input and resolve any disputes.

Primary outcomes were: (1) the proportion of guideline-eligible patients switched to oral antibiotics within 24 hours of eligibility (original target: 95% within 6 months of project implementation) and (2) the time taken to switch posteligibility (no predefined target set). Secondary outcomes were the total duration of intravenous antibiotic therapy, length of hospital stay, proportion of patients with intravenous line complications (such as line-related infection, thrombophlebitis or extravasation), readmission within 7 days or recommencement of intravenous antibiotics within 24 hours.

**Statistical analysis**

Categorical variables and proportions were compared using $\chi^2$ or Fisher’s exact tests. A p value of 0.05 (two tailed) was deemed statistically significant. Continuous variables were compared using Wilcoxon rank-sum tests. Statistical analyses were done using Stata V.16.0 (StataCorp) and a statistical process control (SPC) and run chart were generated using QI Charts V.2.0.23 in Microsoft Excel V.16.31.

**Patient involvement**

Parents were involved in the design and conduct of this research. The project team included a consumer engagement representative (LJ), who participated in team

---

**Table 1** Indication for antibiotics (categorised according to hospital guideline)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indication</th>
<th>Pre-PDSA n (%)</th>
<th>PDSA 1 n (%)</th>
<th>PDSA 2 n (%)</th>
<th>PDSA 3 n (%)</th>
<th>Post-PDSA n (%)</th>
<th>Total n (%)</th>
<th>P value*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Complicated† appendicitis or intra-abdominal collection</td>
<td>12 (24)</td>
<td>12 (23.1)</td>
<td>14 (22.2)</td>
<td>25 (25)</td>
<td>8 (23.5)</td>
<td>71 (23.7)</td>
<td>0.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pneumonia</td>
<td>14 (28)</td>
<td>7 (13.5)</td>
<td>18 (28.6)</td>
<td>12 (12)</td>
<td>9 (26.5)</td>
<td>60 (20.1)</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preseptal cellulitis</td>
<td>4 (8)</td>
<td>8 (15.4)</td>
<td>5 (7.9)</td>
<td>17 (17)</td>
<td>2 (5.9)</td>
<td>36 (12)</td>
<td>0.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appendicitis, uncomplicated</td>
<td>1 (2)</td>
<td>1 (1.9)</td>
<td>1 (1.6)</td>
<td>19 (19)</td>
<td>5 (14.7)</td>
<td>27 (9)</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urinary tract infection</td>
<td>4 (8)</td>
<td>5 (9.6)</td>
<td>5 (7.9)</td>
<td>5 (5)</td>
<td>4 (11.8)</td>
<td>23 (7.7)</td>
<td>0.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cellulitis</td>
<td>4 (8)</td>
<td>4 (7.7)</td>
<td>1 (1.6)</td>
<td>8 (8)</td>
<td>2 (5.9)</td>
<td>19 (6.4)</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acute cervical lymphadenitis</td>
<td>2 (4)</td>
<td>4 (7.7)</td>
<td>7 (11.1)</td>
<td>2 (2)</td>
<td>3 (8.8)</td>
<td>18 (6)</td>
<td>0.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pyelonephritis</td>
<td>4 (8)</td>
<td>3 (5.8)</td>
<td>4 (6.4)</td>
<td>1 (1)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>12 (4)</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skin abscesses and boils</td>
<td>1 (2)</td>
<td>2 (3.9)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6 (6)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>9 (3)</td>
<td>0.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pleural empyema</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1 (1.9)</td>
<td>2 (3.2)</td>
<td>1 (1)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4 (1.3)</td>
<td>0.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tonsillitis</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4 (4)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4 (1.3)</td>
<td>0.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acute osteomyelitis</td>
<td>1 (2)</td>
<td>1 (1.9)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2 (0.7)</td>
<td>0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orbital cellulitis</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1 (1.6)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1 (2.9)</td>
<td>2 (0.7)</td>
<td>0.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pneumococcal bacteraemia</td>
<td>1 (2)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1 (1.6)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2 (0.7)</td>
<td>0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pyomyositis</td>
<td>1 (2)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1 (1.6)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2 (0.7)</td>
<td>0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brain abscess</td>
<td>1 (2)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1 (1.6)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1 (0.3)</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deep surgical site infection</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1 (1.6)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1 (0.3)</td>
<td>0.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Epididymitis</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1 (1.9)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1 (0.3)</td>
<td>0.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gram negative bacteraemia</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1 (1.9)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1 (0.3)</td>
<td>0.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lung abscess</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1 (1.9)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1 (0.3)</td>
<td>0.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mastoiditis</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1 (1.6)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1 (0.3)</td>
<td>0.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retropharyngeal abscess</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1 (1.6)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1 (0.3)</td>
<td>0.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Superficial surgical site infection</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1 (1.9)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1 (0.3)</td>
<td>0.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>299 (100)</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*The p value is for pre-implementation compared with combined postimplementation data (PDSA1-Post-PDSA).
†Complicated appendicitis is defined as presence of perforation, peritonitis or pus in the peritoneum.
NA, not available; PDSA, Plan-Do-Study-Act.
meetings and liaised with the hospital’s parent representative group to develop a family-friendly checklist (online supplemental figure A5).

**STRATEGY**

The Model for Improvement methodology was used for project implementation. A driver diagram to illustrate the original project conception and design is shown in figure 1. Change ideas from the driver diagram were assessed and then selected for inclusion in Plan-Do-Study-Act (PDSA) cycles with interventions outlined below. Interventions followed a ‘real-world’ approach, with overlap of interventions as needed and continuous audit and feedback (via team-based champions) throughout the study. Progress, outcomes, opportunities and barriers were monitored with monthly project-team meetings during the study, which informed ongoing implementation.

**Plan for PDSA cycles**

PDSA cycle 1—Practice guideline release and in-service for target medical teams.

PDSA cycle 2—Nursing, hospital executive and consumer engagement.

PDSA cycle 3—Written feedback to teams based on audit results to tailor improvements.

Post-PDSA—Analysis of outcomes during ‘business as usual’.

**Presentation of feedback**

Feedback was provided to general paediatric and general surgical teams in the form of oral communication from team members and local champions, fed back at monthly unit meetings. This was followed up later with written reports outlining project aims and benefits and team performance, with suggestions for practice improvement, based on recent cases. An example of a written tailored feedback report is shown in online supplemental figure A6.

**PDSA cycle 1**

**March to May 2017**

**Plan**

The project team submitted the draft practice guideline to the hospital drug and therapeutics committee for approval, which was provided. Key messages for education to medical and pharmacy staff were discussed and presentations were developed and scheduled.

**Do**

In March 2017, the following processes were implemented: (1) the intravenous-to-oral antibiotic switch practice guideline was released on the hospital intranet and (2) in-service education sessions were delivered to the general paediatrics department and the pharmacy department.

---

**Driver diagram**

*Figure 1* Project driver diagram. Change ideas not implemented as part of project due to assessment of lower priority or lower feasibility. SCH, Sydney Children's Hospital.
Study
In the first PDSA cycle the proportion switched within 24 hours was 46/53 (88%). The median time from the patient becoming eligible for intravenous-to-oral switch to the switch occurring decreased from baseline, median: 15 hours 42 min to 6 hours and 0 min. The indication for antibiotics in this and subsequent cycles is shown in table 1. Time to intravenous-to-oral switch and variation over time is shown in figure 2. The statistical process control chart in figure 2 displays non-random variation during this period, indicating change is likely due to the interventions (note: the mean rather than median time intravenous-to-oral switch is displayed on this chart, by convention). The percentage of patients achieving switch within 24 hours of eligibility is shown in figure 3 and also shows an improvement compared with baseline assessment.

Act
We noted interventions to date appeared successful in the short term and planned to continue the engagement strategy, audit and feedback as originally outlined.

PDSA cycle 2
June to August 2017
Plan
We planned to make lanyard cards with a summary of practice guideline recommendations in this cycle to increase availability of and attention to the practice guideline. We also planned to directly engage heads of departments beyond general paediatrics and surgery and engage nurses directly, aiming to also influence the general hospital milieu. We planned to display project information as posters on walls and make educational information for parents/carers available.

Do
In June–July 2017, the following processes were implemented: (1) lanyard cards were delivered to doctors in general paediatrics and surgery; (2) the medical lead gave a presentation at hospital heads of hospital departments meeting, with support from the executive sponsor; (3) parent information was finalised and distributed to wards; (4) a project promotional poster was finalised and displayed on wards; (5) nursing information packs were distributed and (6) the Nurse champion led in-service education for nurses.

Study
In the second PDSA cycle, there was a decrease compared with cycle 1 in the proportion switched within 24 hours to 45/63 (71%) and increase compared with cycle 1 in the median time to switch to 14 hours 22 min, though this did not rise above baseline. There was also more variation noted in the timing of switch (figure 2). This was unexpected and contributing factors were assessed. Although the spectrum of indications did not change significantly between the preintervention and postintervention periods (with the exception of a single brain abscess in the preintervention period), there was proportionately more pneumonia in cycle 2 compared with cycle 1 (18/63 (28.6%) vs 7/52 (13.5%)). We noted concerns from clinicians that there was pressure to make beds available, with subsequent increased demands on medical team time and fears of discharging patients with ongoing respiratory symptoms prematurely. Continuation of intravenous therapy for admitted patients relieved the perceived pressure for early discharge. The project team noted this occurred in context of winter with an especially severe influenza season locally but ultimately reflected clinician behaviour. It was thus necessary to address this as an unanticipated but potentially modifiable factor in relation to the ultimate success of the project.

Act
The interventions, previously successful, appeared vulnerable to clinician behavioural change, at least partially in response to an increase in presentations and severe respiratory infections during winter, and the sustainability of the project was threatened by this. We had provided oral feedback but not yet tailored written feedback and
reinforcement to general paediatric and general surgical teams. We also had not yet managed to present formally to the general surgical department, nor had we spoken to hospital bed managers. We planned to gather further information from issues raised during PDSA cycle 2, including with informal discussion with representative clinicians and to address these issues explicitly in the next cycle.

**PDSA cycle 3**  
**September 2017 to January 2018**

*Plan*  
We planned tailored oral and written feedback of audit results (delivered via email, content as in online supplemental figure A6) to general paediatric and general surgical teams, with practice suggestions for intravenous-to-oral switch. We also planned to make the lanyard cards more widely available to junior medical officers (JMOs), as there was a risk that rotating JMOs, newly arrived in target departments, may have not received them.

*Do*  
In August 2017, in-service education was delivered to the general surgical department. In September 2017, the following processes were implemented: (1) in-service education was delivered to the Emergency Department; (2) there was further distribution of lanyard cards to prescribers; (3) a first round of tailored written feedback of audit results was delivered to teams and (4) the project was reviewed and formally discussed at AMS team meetings and promoted on AMS rounds from this time. In December 2017, a second round of tailored written feedback of audit results was delivered to teams. During this period, we clarified explicitly with clinicians and hospital bed managers that patients could remain in hospital as clinically indicated, whether or not they were receiving oral antibiotics and ensured this information was understood among all levels of clinical staff.

*Study*  
In the third PDSA cycle, the proportion switched within 24 hours increased to 82/100 (82%), substantially recovering previous improvement gains. The median time to intravenous-to-oral switch decreased to 3 min, often occurring at the point of guideline-determined eligibility. Once again, we observed eight or more datapoints below the centreline indicating non-random variation.

Although the third PDSA cycle activities were overall less intensive than those in the first two cycles, they were more effective, achieving a much-improved result. We attributed the success to the tailored feedback, increased accessibility and awareness of the practice guideline, engagement of the AMS team and, to some extent, easing of external pressures such as bed availability. This cycle was also somewhat longer, incorporating a hospital low activity period which occurred in late December and throughout January.

**Act**  
We planned next to review study outcomes finally without further targeted intervention efforts and a return to usual care.

**Post-PDSA phase**  
**February to March 2018**

In this phase, there were no specific activities conducted, though the practice guideline, posters and lanyard cards remained available for staff.

Intravenous-to-oral switch occurred within 24 hours for 30/34 (88%) patients in the post-PDSA cycle, significantly above the baseline figure of 32/50 (64%) (OR 4.2, 95% CI 1.3 to 13.9, p=0.01). The median time to intravenous-to-oral switch increased compared with PDSA cycle 3, however, from 3 min to 13 hours 7 min but remained below the baseline of 15 hours 42 mins.

We reflected that despite the median time to intravenous-to-oral switch increasing in this business-as-usual period, previous efforts, combined with ensuring availability of project materials in this period resulted in a significant and sustained improvement in the proportion switched within 24 hours, but that maximum effectiveness would require some ongoing efforts. We planned to continue to make the project materials available to hospital staff and include promotion of the practice guideline and materials at future medical and nursing orientation sessions. Intravenous-to-oral antibiotic switch performance would become part of the AMS team’s routine activities with periodic assessments and feedback to teams in future using materials developed during the project.

**RESULTS**

**Before-and-after analysis**

We reviewed the implementation cohort as a whole and compared this to our baseline cohort. A total of 249 records were reviewed prospectively between March 2017 and March 2018. General paediatric inpatients comprised 151/249 (61%) of the prospective cohort with 98/249 (39%) general surgical inpatients. The most common indications for antibiotics in these groups remained pneumonia in 49/249 (20%) and appendicitis in 84/249 (34%). Our audits were able to obtain complete data for the variables analysed.

**Primary outcomes**

There was a significant overall reduction in time to switch from a median of 15 hours 42 min preimplementation to 4 hours 20 min postimplementation (p=0.0006) during the 12-month study period (Table 2). The proportion of patients who were switched within 24 hours of eligibility significantly increased from 32/50 (64%) preimplementation to 203/249 (82%) postimplementation, (p=0.006, shown in Table 2). Time to intravenous-to-oral switch and variation over time, shown in Figure 2, displayed non-random variation and we concluded improvements seen were likely due to the interventions. The percentage of
patients achieving switch within 24 hours of eligibility, shown in figure 3 demonstrates variation over time but a clear improvement overall, compared with baseline assessment.

Secondary and additional outcomes
The median duration of total intravenous therapy significantly decreased from 62 hours 45 min preimplementation to 48 hours and 0 min (p=0.01). The median length of inpatient hospital admission correspondingly decreased from 78 hours preimplementation to 63 hours 51 min (p=0.008), representing approximately 14 hours’ reduction in both measures (table 2). There were no significant changes in intravenous line-related complications, which were rare. Readmissions and recommencement of intravenous therapy did not increase significantly postimplementation (table 2). An additional unmeasured but anecdotal outcome was a report from the AMS and project teams that fewer children with certain infections were commenced on intravenous therapy, following evidence-based and guideline-recommended indications for selected conditions where oral antibiotics could be used immediately.4

Resource use and costs
The implementation required an investment of staff time from the project team to attend meetings, plan and review interventions and engage target staff with audit and feedback, and this was most substantial at the beginning of the project. Although we did not access direct or indirect costs arising from the implementation or related to the intervention, the reduction of hospital length of stay and intravenous antibiotic use for children during implementation is likely to have avoided considerable costs for the institution, as well as for families of children.

Table 2 Outcome measures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>Preimplementation (n=50)</th>
<th>Postimplementation (n=249)</th>
<th>P value</th>
<th>OR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Primary outcomes</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time to switch* (median)</td>
<td>15 hours 42 min</td>
<td>4 hours 20 min</td>
<td>0.0006</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No of eligible patients switched within 24 hours*</td>
<td>32 (64%)</td>
<td>203 (82%)</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>2.48 (1.2–5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Secondary outcomes</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of intravenous therapy (median)</td>
<td>62 hours 45 min</td>
<td>48 hours</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length of hospital admission (median)</td>
<td>78 hours</td>
<td>63 hours 51 min</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intravenous line-associated complications</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td>3† (1%)</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>(Undefined)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No of patients readmitted</td>
<td>1 (2%)</td>
<td>8 (3%)</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>1.63 (0.21–73.62)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No of patients recommenced intravenous medication</td>
<td>2 (4%)</td>
<td>3 (1%)</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.29 (0.03–3.61)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Bold values are statistically significant.

*Time and eligibility to switch from intravenous-to-oral medications after meeting guideline criteria for switch.
†One patient had extravasation injury and two patients had thrombophlebitis.
NA, not available.

LESSONS AND LIMITATIONS
This study is the first, to our knowledge, to address inappropriately long intravenous antibiotic durations in multiple conditions affecting hospitalised children using QI methodology. The project team was thus able to rely on prior knowledge for the methodology but needed to be flexible to adapt interventions to team-based and contextual factors during the study. The major outcomes were a reduction of intravenous antibiotic use and improved timeliness of switch, following implementation, with reduction in hospital length of stay, and without any signals of potential harm.

In context, a study in adult patients using a printed checklist reported a 19% reduction in intravenous treatment days7 but the study did not have the capacity to assess whether switch occurred when appropriate according to evidence-based guidelines, as our study did. Another study in children used a multifaceted intervention strategy to successfully improve oral antibiotic stepdown for children with osteomyelitis.11 This study included a small number of children with osteomyelitis and thus was unable to demonstrate the applicability of its methodology to a broad range of infections in children.

Our project team explicitly sought input from clinical champions who could help implement the guideline alongside their peers. After completing the project, we sought specific feedback from these champions. The general paediatric clinical champion (MP) reflected that educating staff on the existence of a new resource

In this study, we successfully implemented a hospital practice guideline with evidence-based intravenous-to-oral antibiotic switch recommendations, supported by an education campaign and clinical champions. The approach described here supported an improvement in timely, appropriate intravenous-to-oral antibiotic switch in children, over the 12-month study period. Our finding that 14 hours’ median reduction in hospitalisation post-implementation matched 14 hours’ median reduction in intravenous therapy, suggests that duration of intravenous antibiotics influences length of inpatient stay for children, in our setting. Secondary outcomes measured indicated our process was safe and had potential to reduce hospital length of stay without excess need for recommencement of intravenous therapy or readmission. Although we did not analyse cost or quality of life measures in this study, our findings of increased timely switch with reduced length of stay suggest that successfully implemented intravenous-to-oral antibiotic switch is also likely to be associated with cost savings for hospitals and potentially improved quality of life for children and their families, and these issues merit further study.

CONCLUSIONS

In this study, we successfully implemented a hospital practice guideline with evidence-based intravenous-to-oral antibiotic switch recommendations, supported by a Quality Improvement study. The approach described here supported an improvement in timely, appropriate intravenous-to-oral antibiotic switch in children, over the 12-month study period. Our finding that 14 hours’ median reduction in hospitalisation post-implementation matched 14 hours’ median reduction in intravenous therapy, suggests that duration of intravenous antibiotics influences length of inpatient stay for children, in our setting. Secondary outcomes measured indicated our process was safe and had potential to reduce hospital length of stay without excess need for recommencement of intravenous therapy or readmission. Although we did not analyse cost or quality of life measures in this study, our findings of increased timely switch with reduced length of stay suggest that successfully implemented intravenous-to-oral antibiotic switch is also likely to be associated with cost savings for hospitals and potentially improved quality of life for children and their families, and these issues merit further study.
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Supplementary Appendix: Project Resources

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Infection</th>
<th>Minimum IV antibiotic duration</th>
<th>Criteria for switch to oral antibiotic</th>
<th>Minimum total antibiotic duration</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Musculoskeletal infections</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acute osteomyelitis</td>
<td>Uncomplicated: 3-4 days [A-I]</td>
<td>Arthritis, clinical improvement, CRP/ESR decreasing [A-II]</td>
<td>3-4 weeks [A-II]</td>
<td>Complicated (delayed presentation, associated wound or abscess): longer duration IV is likely to be required [D-expert opinion]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>If associated bacteria, IV may be prolonged to 4-7 days if improving quickly and uncomplicated, with remainder oral for total duration as for non-bacteremic infection [C-III]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subacute or</td>
<td>Clinically well with prothetic material: 0 days [D-expert opinion]</td>
<td>As soon as tolerated</td>
<td>There is no evidence to support a minimum total duration</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>chronic osteomyelitis</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>If prothetic material is present, bone/soft tissue antibiotics for a longer duration are likely to be necessary [D-expert opinion]. Cure may not be possible without prothetic material removal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Septic arthritis</td>
<td>2-4 days [A-I]</td>
<td>Clinical improvement [D-expert opinion]</td>
<td>2-3 weeks [A-II]</td>
<td>Complicated (delayed presentation, associated wound or abscess): longer duration IV is likely to be required [D-expert opinion]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pyomyositis</td>
<td>2-5 days [C-IV]</td>
<td>Clinical improvement</td>
<td>2-3 weeks [C-IV]</td>
<td>Pus should be drained [C-IV]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Skin and soft tissue infections  |                                 |                                        |                                  |                                                                      |
| Cellulitis                       | Mild: 0 days Moderate/severe: 1-3 days [C-IV] | Clinical improvement – fever and erythema reduction | 5-7 days [C-IV]                   | If associated deep infection or osteomyelitis, refer to the relevant guideline. (Moderate/severe: rapidly spreading erythema, tender, indurated, systemic features) |
| Preseptal (periorbital) cellulitis| 2-3 days [C-IV]                 | Clinical improvement in fever and erythema | 7-10 days [C-IV]                  | Nil                                                                 |
| Orbital cellulitis               | 3-4 days [C-IV]                 | Clinical resolution of fever, erythema and pain | 7-10 days [C-IV]                  | Intraorbital abscesses should be drained, with non-operative management in selected patients [C-IV]. If symptoms persist IV antibiotics should continue while investigating for complications [D-expert opinion] |

Figure A1: Excerpt from hospital policy introduced at project commencement, available on hospital intranet
**IV to Oral Conversion**

Can your patient be converted to oral antimicrobials?

**Inclusion criteria**

- Clinically stable
- Able to tolerate oral medication
- Suitable oral alternative available in palatable paediatric formulation
- Patient likely to be adherent with oral therapy
- Family agrees with the plan

**Exclusion Criteria:**

- Septic
- Endocarditis
- Meningitis
- Malabsorption
- Severe diarrhoea
- Uncontrolled nausea and vomiting
- Neonate (not an absolute contraindication, discuss with AMC)

Full guideline available on the internet

Policy Number: 2017-044
Sydney Children's Hospital Randwick
May 2017

![Figure A2: Lanyard card with IV-oral conversion inclusion criteria and prescribing advice](image)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Oral antibiotic and dose</th>
<th>Suggested PO conversion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ampicillin/Amoxicillin 25-50 mg/kg/dose 6-hourly</td>
<td>Amoxicillin 15-25 mg/kg/dose 8-hourly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benzylpenicillin 30-60 mg/kg/dose 6-hourly</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cefotaxime (restricted) 25-50 mg/kg/dose 6-to-8-hourly</td>
<td>Amoxicillin (dose as above) 30-60 mg/kg/dose 6-hourly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ceftriaxone (restricted) 50-100 mg/kg/dose 24-hourly</td>
<td>Piperacillin/Tazobactam (restricted) 100 mg/kg/dose (piperacillin component) 6-8-hourly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cefalexin (restricted) 25-50 mg/kg/dose 6-hourly</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chloramphenicol 15-30 mg/kg/dose 6-hourly</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clindamycin (restricted) 10-15 mg/kg/dose 8-hourly</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clindamycin (restricted) 7.5-10 mg/kg/dose 8-hourly</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gentamicin 7.5-15 mg/kg/dose 24-hourly</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metronidazole 12.5-25 mg/kg/dose 8-hourly</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Piperacillin 25-50 mg/kg/dose 6-hourly</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Piperacillin 12.5-25 mg/kg/dose 8-hourly</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**PROJECT SWITCH**
Promoting IV to oral antibiotic switch to improve patient care

**KEY INFORMATION FOR CLINICIANS ON SWITCHING TO ORAL ANTIBIOTICS**

### Indications for oral antibiotics
It is safe and appropriate to treat a range of infections with oral antibiotics, including:
- community-acquired pneumonia
- cellulitis
- lower urinary tract infections
- step-down therapy for complicated appendicitis and intra-abdominal infections

Full guideline available on the SCHK intranet, Policy Number 2017-044

### Can your patient be converted to oral antimicrobials?

**Inclusion criteria**
- Clinically stable
- Able to tolerate oral medication
- Suitable oral alternative available in palatable paediatric formulation
- Patient likely to be adherent with oral therapy
- Family agrees with the plan

**Exclusion criteria**:
- Septic
- Endocarditis
- Meningitis
- Malabsorption
- Severe diarrhoea
- Uncontrolled nausea and vomiting
- Neonate (not an absolute contraindication, discuss with AMO)

### If on: IV antibiotic and dose | Suggested PO conversion*
--- | ---
Amoxicillin/Amoxicillin 25-50 mg/kg/dose 6-hourly | Amoxicillin 15-25 mg/kg/dose 8-hourly
Benzylenecillin 30-60 mg/kg/dose 6-hourly | Amoxicillin (dose as above) OR Phenoxymethylpenicillin 10-12.5 mg/kg/dose 6-hourly
Cefotaxime (restricted) 25-50 mg/kg/dose 6-10-hourly | Ceftriaxone (restricted) 50-100 mg/kg/dose 24-hourly
Piperacillin-Tazobactam (restricted) 100 mg/kg/dose (piperacillin component) 6-10-hourly | Piperacillin 57.5 mg/kg/dose 6-hourly PLUS Gentamicin 7.5 mg/kg/dose 24-hourly PLUS Metronidazole 12.5 mg/kg/dose 12-hourly
Ampicillin 25-50 mg/kg/dose 6-hourly PLUS Gentamicin 7.5 mg/kg/dose 24-hourly PLUS Metronidazole 12.5 mg/kg/dose 12-hourly
Ceftriaxone (restricted) 10-15 mg/kg/dose 8-hourly | Ceftriaxone (restricted) 7.5-10 mg/kg/dose 8-hourly
Fluoxacillin 25-50 mg/kg/dose 6-hourly | Fluoxacillin 12.5-25 mg/kg/dose 6-hourly OR Cefalexin 12.5-25 mg/kg/dose 6-hourly

*Check microbiology results at 24 hours and choose oral therapy according to susceptibilities.

Do NOT use suggested doses above for NEONATES.

---

**Figure A3: Poster for Clinicians**
Figure A4: Promotional Poster
Figure A5: Parent/Carer Factsheet with Discussion Checklist
Figure A6: Example Tailored Report for Surgical Team